

Regional Forest Agreements have failed to protect the environment

Logging of native forests on public land is permitted under 20-year agreements between the state and federal governments called Regional Forest Agreements (RFAs). There are three RFAs in NSW, North East, Eden and Southern, and they expire between 2019 and 2021. The RFAs have several aims relevant to the environment, including:

- 1. [Providing] for the ecologically sustainable management (ESFM) and use of forested areas in the regions;
- 2. [Having] regard to studies and projects carried out in relation to environmental values, including old-growth, wilderness, endangered species, National Estate values and World Heritage values.

Australia's forests: too precious to log

Habitat loss and degradation have been identified as one of the major conservation policy issues for Oceania¹. In Australia, approximately 50% of woodland and forest ecosystems have been destroyed, and 70% of remaining forests are degraded by logging. Resource extraction via logging is recognised as a driver of environmental change². As a result, some of Australia's most high profile scientists have called for policy shifts that stop logging via education, incentives and compensation¹.

In 2011 'The Forests of East Australia,' which covers the entire North East RFA region and part of the Southern RFA region, were listed as the world's 35th biodiversity hotspot³. The Southwest Forest RFA Region of Western Australia is also entirely contained within the Biodiversity Hotspot Southwest Australia. Hotspots are defined as having an exceptional concentration of endemic (not found anywhere else) species and being highly threatened⁴. Australia's forests are amazing and internationally important!

Forestry practices destroy and modify forest landscapes and forest heterogeneity, prevent or impede ecological processes, kill animals (therefore modifying populations and assemblages of species), modify habitat and have implications for the evolutionary potential of forest species⁵. Industrial logging is not appropriate in areas of such extraordinary biodiversity value and reflects poorly on Australia, which itself is one of the most significant countries in the world for nature. In 2015, WWF identified Australia as being the only developed nation to have a deforestation front, and logging was identified as a driver of this deforestation in NSW⁶. **Don't believe the spin: native forest logging is not sustainable.**

What forest values and ecological processes does logging impact?

1. Loss of tree hollows

Although eucalypt forest covers only 8.3% of Australia, it supports 47% of all hollow-using vertebrates. Hence there is a strong concentration of hollow-using species in forests⁷. Many of these species are iconic and found nowhere else on earth including the brush-tailed phascogale; yellow-bellied glider; greater glider; squirrel glider and Leadbeater's possum. The loss of hollows via logging has been identified as a threat to all of these species⁸.

A minimum of 120 years is required for hollow formation in most eucalypt species and much longer for many⁷. Logging shifts the age-class distribution of trees from old, hollow-bearing trees to younger trees with fewer hollows⁹. The native timber industry is therefore incompatible with the retention of tree hollows, because it is not possible to have rotation durations that allow the replacement of lost hollows. This is why logging has been repeatedly identified, including by the NSW Scientific Committee, as a key factor driving the loss of tree hollows in Australia¹⁰⁻¹³. Logging leads to a loss of hollows and a loss of hollow-dependent wildlife.

2. Bell-miner associated dieback

Also termed 'logging dieback', bell-miner associated dieback (BMAD) is a complex cascade of ecological interactions that ultimately causes canopy dieback and sometimes death in canopy eucalypts. It is an excellent example of how logging has unforeseen consequences in forests that go beyond just the removal of trees. Moist forests, such as those

PO Box 312, DARLINGHURST NSW 1300

in the North East RFA region are most susceptible¹⁴ and 2.5 million hectares of forest are thought to be at risk in eastern NSW¹⁵.

A simplified explanation of the mechanism behind BMAD is:

- 1. Logging removes the canopy allowing increased light penetration;
- 2. This in turn facilitates invasion by *Lantana* which provides preferred habitat for bell-miners (insect-eating birds);
- 3. The bell-miners drive away smaller birds to hoard insect food resources;
- 4. These insects feed on the leaves of eucalypts and, because there are fewer bird predators, increase in numbers;
- 5. The increase in insect load on the trees cause dieback and, in extreme cases, death.

Impacts on native species

The RFA process has weakened protection for threatened species compared to that afforded under the federal *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act)*¹⁶. This is primarily because:

- 1. The states have lower regulatory requirements than those imposed by the *EPBC Act*. For example, in NSW and Tasmania the RFAs have exempted forestry operations from state laws pertaining to threatened species;
- 2. The states have failed to take into account new information on threatened species and biodiversity, therefore locking in poor environmental outcomes;
- 3. RFA reviews have not been sufficiently timely or thorough, with the result that it has not been possible to ensure compliance with RFAs and therefore to justify the accreditation of logging via the RFAs under the *EPBC Act*;
- 4. Monitoring, compliance and enforcement has not been sufficient, and;
- 5. There are limited third party participation rights. This has also resulted in the maintenance of conflict in all RFA states.

There are a total of 1413 forest-dwelling species of flora and fauna on the federal EPBC list. Between 2006 and 2011, 89 species were added to the list of threatened species while 21 were removed¹⁷. In 2004, 40 of 81 extant forest mammals in NSW were listed as threatened, and of the 41 not listed, 34 had declined⁹. Native forest logging is identified as a key threat to forest fauna as it drives habitat destruction and the loss of key habitat features such as large old trees and the hollows they possess^{10,12,13,18,19}. Hollow-dependant species are known to be most sensitive to logging^{18,20,21}.

We have recently seen substantial population declines in many common and rare species (such as greater gliders, koalas, Leadbeater's possum and the swift parrot), including local extinctions^{8,22-25} which places remaining populations at greater risk of chance events such as large fires. The rapid decline of once-common species such as greater gliders is particularly concerning as it highlights how there is no room for complacency in the protection of forest species. **We need to stop logging now before it's too late!**

The direct impacts of logging on forest fauna is clearly illustrated by a series of studies in the Eden area of NSW that used counts of dead arboreal mammals by logging crews to answer various questions as to species distributions²⁶⁻²⁸. The studies identified 930 dead mammals of seven species (greater glider, yellow-bellied glider, feathertail glider (*Acrobates pygmaeus*), sugar glider (*Petarus berviceps*), brush-tail possum (*Trichosurus vulpecula*), ring-tail possum (*Pseudocheirus peregrinus*) and pygmy possum (*Cercartetus nanus*)) over 5000 hectares. **Don't kid yourself: logging kills animals, they don't just run away!**

Koalas

Koalas were not listed under the federal *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act* (EPBC Act) during the signing of the RFAs. In 2012, koala populations in NSW, Queensland and ACT were listed under the EPBC Act after having being assessed as having undergone a population decline of 33% between 1990 and 2010⁸. Despite knowing that many koala populations have been declining for decades²⁹, and awareness of policy issues preventing effective action³⁰, recent research shows continued alarming declines in most koala populations in NSW³¹. **Our favourite animal is at risk of extinction, and logging is a big part of the problem.**

In March 2016 the NSW government declared 12,000ha of 'flora reserves' between the Bega and Bermagui rivers to protect the remaining koalas on the far south coast of NSW. This is an admission that logging and koalas don't go together. We need to protect all of our koalas by ending native forest logging across NSW.

References

- 1 Kingsford, R. T. *et al.* Major Conservation Policy Issues for Biodiversity in Oceania. *Conservation Biology* **23**, 834-840, doi:10.1111/j.1523-1739.2009.01287.x (2009).
- 2 Hobday, A. J. & McDonald, J. Environmental Issues in Australia. Annual Review of Environment and Resources 39, 1-28, doi:10.1146/annurev-environ-012113-111451 (2014).
- 3 Williams, K. et al. in Biodiversity Hotspots (eds Frank E. Zachos & Jan Christian Habel) Ch. 16, 295-310 (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2011).
- 4 Myers, N., Mittermeier, R. A., Mittermeier, C. G., da Fonseca, G. A. B. & Kent, J. Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. *Nature* **403**, 853-858, doi:<u>http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v403/n6772/suppinfo/403853a0_S1.html</u> (2000).
- 5 Norton, T. W. Conserving biological diversity in Australia's temperate eucalypt forests. *Forest Ecology and Management* **85**, 21-33, doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127(96)03747-4</u> (1996).
- 6 WWF. WWF Living Forests Report: Chapter 5. Saving Forests at Risk, <<u>http://www.wwf.org.au/news_resource_library/?13360/Living-</u> Forests-Report-Chapter-5-Saving-forests-at-risk> (2015).
- 7 Gibbons, P. & Lindenmayer, D. B. Tree hollows and wildlife conservation in Australia. (CSIRO, 2002).
- 8 Woinarski, J. C. Z., Burbidge, A. A. & Harrison, P. L. The action plan for Australian Mammals 2012. (CSIRO, 2014).
- Lunney, D. & Matthews, A. in *Conservation of Australia's Forest Fauna* (ed D. Lunney) 988-1021 (Royal Zoological Society of New South Wales, 2004).
 Eyre, T. J., Butler, D. W., Kelly, A. L. & Wang, J. Effects of forest management on structural features important for biodiversity in mixed-age hardwood forests in Australia's subtropics. *Forest Ecology and Management* 259, 534-546, doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2009.11.010</u> (2010).
- 11 NSW Scientific Committee. Loss of hollow-bearing trees key threatening process determination, <<u>http://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/determinations/lossofhollowtreesktp.htm</u>>(2007).
- 12 Lindenmayer, D. B. *et al.* Interacting Factors Driving a Major Loss of Large Trees with Cavities in a Forest Ecosystem. *PLoS ONE* 7, e41864, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0041864 (2012).
- 13 Lindenmayer, D. B. *et al.* New Policies for Old Trees: Averting a Global Crisis in a Keystone Ecological Structure. *Conservation Letters* **7**, 61-69, doi:10.1111/conl.12013 (2014).
- 14 Wardell-Johnson, G., Stone, C., Recher, H. & Lynch, A. J. J. A review of eucalypt dieback associated with bell miner habitat in south-eastern Australia. *Australian Forestry* **68**, 231-236, doi:10.1080/00049158.2005.10674970 (2005).
- 15 Wardell-Johnson, G., Stone, C., Recher, H. F. & Lynch, J. J. Bell Miner Associated Dieback (BMAD) Independent Scientific Literature Review: A review of eucalypt dieback associated with Bell miner habitat in north-eastern New South Wales, Australia. DEC NSW Occassional Paper DEC 2006/116, <<u>http://www.bmad.com.au/publications/LiteratureReview.pdf</u>> (2006).
- 16 Feehely, J., Hammond-Deakin, N. & Millner, F. One Stop Chop: How Regional Forest Agreements streamline environmental destruction. (Lawyers for Forests, Melbourne, 2013).
- 17 Montreal Process Implementation Group for Australia and National Forest Inventory Steering Committee. Australia's State of the Forests Report 2013. (ABARES, Canberra, 2013).
- 18 Kavanagh, R. P. & Stanton, M. A. Vertebrate species assemblages and species sensitivity to logging in the forests of north-eastern New South Wales. *Forest Ecology and Management* **209**, 309-341, doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2005.02.009</u> (2005).
- 19 Smith, A. P. & Lindenmayer, D. B. Forest succession and habitat management for Leadbeater's possum in the State of Victoria, Australia. *Forest Ecology* and Management **49**, 311-332, doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-1127(92)90143-W</u> (1992).
- 20 Loyn, R., H. in Conservation of Australia's Forest Fauna (ed D. Lunney) 783-806 (Royal Zoological Society of New South Wales, 2004).
- 21 Kavanagh, R., Debus, S., Tweedie, T. & Webster, R. Distribution of Nocturnal Forest Birds and Mammals in North-Eastern New South Wales: Relationships With Environmental Variables and Management History. *Wildlife Research* 22, 359-377, doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/WR9950359</u> (1995).
- 22 Lunney, D. & Leary, T. The impact on native mammals of land-use changes and exotic species in the Bega district, New South Wales, since settlement. *Australian Journal of Ecology* **13**, 67-92, doi:10.1111/j.1442-9993.1988.tb01417.x (1988).
- 23 Lindenmayer, D. B. et al. How to make a common species rare: A case against conservation complacency. Biological Conservation 144, 1663-1672, doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2011.02.022</u> (2011).
- 24
 The Wilderness Society, Environment Tasmania & Australian Conservation Foundation. An opportunity for genuine reform: Replacing the Regional Forest Agreements. A submission to the 2007-2012 RFA Review, <<u>https://www.wilderness.org.au/sites/default/files/PDFS/TWS RFA Reform Report FA Web HR SinglePages.pdf</u>> (2015).
- 25 The Wilderness Society & The Environmental Defenders Office. State forests, national interests: A review of the Tasmanian RFA, <<u>https://www.wilderness.org.au/sites/default/files/TAS/TWS_Tasmanian_RFA_Report_FA_Web.pdf</u>> (2015).
- 26 Braithwaite, L. W. Studies on the arboreal marsupial fauna of eucalypt forests being harvested for woodpulp at Eden, N.S.W. I. The species and distribution of animals. *Wildlife Research* **10**, 219-229, doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/WR9830219</u> (1983).
- 27 Braithwaite, L. W., Dudzinski, M. L. & Turner, J. Studies on the arboreal marsupial fauna of eucalypt forests being harvested for woodpulp at Eden, N.S.W. II. Relationship between the fauna density, richness and diversity, and measured variables of the habitat. *Wildlife Research* **10**, 231-247 (1983).
- 28 Braithwaite, L., Turner, J. & Kelly, J. Studies on the Arboreal Marsupial Fauna of Eucalypt Forests Being Harvested for Wood Pulp at Eden, N.S.W. iii. Relationships Between Faunal Densities, Eucalypt Occurrence and Foliage Nutrients, and Soil Parent Materials. Wildlife Research 11, 41-48, doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/WR9840041</u> (1984).
- 29 Phillips, S. S. Population Trends and the Koala Conservation Debate. Conservation Biology 14, 650-659, doi:10.1046/j.1523-1739.2000.99387.x (2000).
- 30 Clark, T. W., Mazur, N., Cork, S. J., Dovers, S. & Harding, R. Koala Conservation Policy Process: Appraisal and Recommendations. *Conservation Biology* 14, 681-690, doi:10.1046/j.1523-1739.2000.99390.x (2000).
- 31 McAlpine, C. et al. Conserving koalas: A review of the contrasting regional trends, outlooks and policy challenges. Biological Conservation 192, 226-236, doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2015.09.020</u> (2015).