



Fiscal Year 2016-2017

Annual Report to the Board of County Commissioners Miami-Dade County

December 2017



Introduction

The Children’s Trust submits this annual report to the Board of County Commissioners of Miami-Dade County in order to summarize our goals and activities in accordance with Section 2-1525(e) of the Miami-Dade County Code and in the statutory format for Fiscal Year 2016-17. The programs, objectives and activities of The Children’s Trust are consistent with the goals established by Section 125.901, Florida Statutes, to provide and maintain preventive, developmental and other services for all children’s general welfare; to collect information and statistical data as well as to conduct research helpful to determining the needs of children in the county; and to consult and coordinate with other agencies dedicated to the welfare of children to prevent overlapping services and to fill critical gaps.

Our mission places great emphasis on our role within the community, as does our vision, which is one of shared effort:

Mission: The Children’s Trust partners with the community to plan, advocate for and fund strategic investments that improve the lives of all children and families in Miami-Dade County.

Vision: The Children’s Trust envisions a community that works together to provide the essential foundations to enable children to achieve their full potential.

This year marked the continued implementation of the board’s strategic plan and funding guidance as adopted at the end of 2014, including priority investments made through 281 (up from 215) contracts with 155 agencies (up from 128) (see pages 29-31 for list of contracted service providers and map of service sites) in the investment areas of:

- Parenting
- Early Childhood Development
- Youth Development
- Health & Wellness
- Family & Neighborhood Supports
- Community Awareness & Advocacy
- Program & Professional Development

The main content of this document (pages 6-27) highlights our investments over the past year, including a description, rationale for investing and program results for each initiative. No organization that aims at the sort of results we do can succeed on its own (see our Headline Community Results on pages 5 and 32-34). Partnerships and collaborations are critical. No single strategy or program can be responsible for improving community-level indicators. Rather, the combined efforts of other funders, public and private children’s agencies, faith-based communities, families, community stakeholders and residents are needed to effect community change. We fully understand this and work hard to collaborate with other funders and policymakers.

Spending over the past year has been in line with the board’s priorities and the approved budget (see page 28), and thanks to additional revenue, we were able to invest in a number of new initiatives, such as early childhood community-research projects, expanded summer youth enrichment programs and evidence-based family strengthening clinical services.

The Children’s Trust will continue to fund an expansive and high-quality portfolio of prevention and early intervention programs for all children, and especially for those at greater risk due to family and community conditions. We have continued our commitment, both in number and quality, to the full participation of children with special needs in all programs we fund. Over the past few years, we have continued to learn from and about the community by partnering directly with residents through our community engagement team.


In the coming year, approximately 70 percent of our total program portfolio will be in the last year of the current funding cycle. Thus, we will release competitive solicitations for the majority of our priority investment areas, with a goal of expanding total funded services to more than \$122 million.

The Children’s Trust Budget and Millage Rate for FY 2017-18

In the 2017-18 year, our budget reflects a 1.33 percent increase in revenue, as the board, following the recommendation of its finance and operations committee, authorized increased expenditures from The Children’s Trust’s fund balance over the next several years. These increases will enable us to invest further in nearly all the areas prioritized by the board within our strategic plan.

We have continued along a path of decreasing The Trust’s management expenses to leverage as many resources as possible for contracted services. As a result, next year we will realize \$317,000 savings, which is in addition to the more than \$470,000 savings in the last budget year. Reductions are related primarily to a decrease in budgeted positions. This year staff are managing 66 additional contracts with 27 new agencies based on the prior year’s program investments. Next year The Trust’s management expenses are only 7.5 percent of the total budget—the lowest in 9 years—leaving 88.14 percent dedicated to funding supports for children and families.

The Children's Trust reduced its management expenses to 7.5%, ensuring 88.14% of the annual budget is dedicated to programs for children and families.



The Children’s Trust is proud to offer this upcoming year’s 2018 budget to our community, with total expenditures of approximately \$138.8 million and total ad valorem tax revenues of approximately \$121.9 million, which represent an increase of 8.41 percent and 1.33 percent when compared to the prior year, respectively. This increase includes approximately \$10.7 million, per year, to provide additional services to children.

Following the programmatic updates below, a detailed budget is presented for continuing activities, services and programs offered by The Children’s Trust (see page 28). The board adopted the rolled-back millage rate of .4673 to align with our strategic plan and enable The Trust to fully fund existing programs and continue to expand services across priority investment areas in response to great community needs. The median taxable value for residential property with a \$50,000 homestead exemption for 2018 is associated with a related tax of \$41.87 versus \$41.06 in 2017, for an increase of \$0.81.

The Children's Trust 2017 Management Letter and Audited Financial Report will be submitted to the Board of County Commissioners upon completion, by April 2018.



Strategic Plan Priority Investments

Parenting (pp. 6-7)

- Group parenting & advocacy
- Home visitation & individual parenting

Early Childhood Development (pp. 8-10)

- Quality Counts child care quality improvement
- Early care & education slots
- Developmental screening, assessment & early intervention

Youth Development (pp. 11-13)

- After-school programs
- Summer camps & reading enhancements
- Youth enrichment, employment & supports

Health & Wellness (pp. 14-15)

- Comprehensive school-based health
- Other health programs: insurance enrollment, injury prevention education, food & nutrition

Family & Neighborhood Supports (pp. 16-18)

- Place-based service partnerships
- Countywide partnerships
- 211 Helpline

Community Awareness & Advocacy* (pp. 19-23)

- Promote public policy, advocacy & legislative agendas
- Public awareness & program promotion
- Promote citizen engagement & leadership
- Cross-funder collaboration of goals, strategies & resources

Program & Professional Development* (pp. 24-26)

- Supports for quality program implementation & fiscal/administrative functions
- Program evaluation & community research
- Innovation fund to pilot new strategies, methods, instruments & partnerships

Services for Special Populations* (p. 27)

Budget for The Children's Trust (p. 28)

Contracted Service Providers (pp. 29-30)

Map of Funded Service Sites (p. 31)

Headline Community Results Snapshot (pp. 32-34)

End Notes (pp. 35-37)

Headline Community Results Association with Investments

Family & Community Supports

Children attend quality child care



Children regularly access medical, dental & behavioral health care



Children are supported by nurturing & involved parents



Child Well-Being

Children are ready for kindergarten



Students are succeeding academically



Children meet recommended levels of physical activity



Children behave appropriately in schools, homes & communities



Youth successfully transition to adulthood



* * * Priority investments in the last three sections support all headline community results.

PARENTING

Group Parenting & Advocacy



NUMBERS AT A GLANCE

\$5.2 million
in 2016-17 budget

127
sites
17 programs
implementing
11 EBPs

2,817
families served

5,156
children supported

49%
of families have children with disabilities

58%
of families live in a high-poverty neighborhood

Programs offer parents of children birth to 18 the opportunity to connect with and support each other, as they learn and practice new parenting skills through structured parent and parent-child activities. Session content includes strategies for effective communication, age-appropriate child development, behavior management, child safety and injury prevention.

Advocacy programs emphasize parent leadership development and civic involvement, allowing parents to become more engaged in child-serving systems and to advocate for their own child's success as well as for improved family-centered services.


Why invest?

- All parents have questions and concerns about their children, but not everyone has trusted places for answers and support. Research shows high quality information for parents can make a difference for all families.¹
- Programs have documented a 3:1 return on investment, with a higher ratio of almost 6:1 for high-risk parents. Children of parents who participated in high quality, more intensive programs were as much as 22 percent less likely to later commit a crime.²


Initiative results:

Programs generally offer about 10 group sessions over a period of three to six months, with some programs offering individual sessions on an as-needed basis. On average this year, families attended nine sessions.

Families attending group parenting programs showed improvement on:



Participants attending advocacy programs showed improvement on:



\$5.4 million
in 2016-17 budget

13
programs implementing
7 EBPs

1,813
families served

3,477
children supported

25%
of families have children with disabilities

56%
of families live in a high-poverty neighborhood

PARENTING

Home Visitation & Individual Parenting

Evidence-based programs (EBPs) – implemented in homes, pediatric offices and other therapeutic settings – offer education and skills-building opportunities for parents of children ages birth to 5 years, with select programs serving parents with older children.

Programs focus on child health, development and school readiness through encouraging nurturing parent-child relationships and safe homes. Some programs serve the needs of specific at-risk subgroups and provide further individualized supports.



Additionally, through the use of developmental screenings, parents gain understanding of developmental milestones and are connected to resources and further assessment if needed.


Why invest?

- Home visiting programs for high-risk or low-income families are especially effective. Well-established and -researched programs have been found to have a net benefit (to child and society) valued at \$21,000 per child served. Overall, home visiting programs for at-risk families offer an estimated 5-7 percent rate of return on investment.³
- Nurturing families are key to ensuring children are safe and thriving, yet more than 4,000 children were in the child welfare/dependency court system in 2014, primarily due to abandonment, abuse or neglect by parents/primary caregivers.⁴ The immediate and long-term annual cost of child abuse and neglect is estimated at \$64,000 per maltreated child⁵ or a lifetime cost of \$210,012 per maltreated child.⁶

Initiative results:

Families receive six months to five years of home visiting and individual services, with visit frequency – ranging from twice per week to once every other month – based on level of need and risk. On average this year, families received 13 visits.

Families showed improvement on:



In April 2017, The Trust awarded \$3.2 million in ten contracts through the Family Strengthening Initiative. Using evidence-based programming, this initiative provides individualized parenting and clinical intervention services for 877 families experiencing challenges with child behavior, parent-child relationships and/or consequences of youth violence, parent mental health/substance abuse.

EARLY CHILDHOOD DEVELOPMENT

NUMBERS AT A GLANCE

\$9.9 million
in 2016-17 budget

375
programs participating

16,200
hours of quality improvement support provided

25,400
children supported

305
children received short-term behavioral intervention

51%
of programs located in a high-poverty neighborhood

Quality Counts Child Care Quality Improvement

Quality Counts is a communitywide initiative to improve the quality of Miami-Dade County's early care and education programs and professionalize the child care workforce. This voluntary quality rating and improvement system is based on nationally-recognized standards of quality and offers technical assistance and financial supports for programs, as well as ongoing, intentional professional development, career advising, scholarships and wage supplements to reduce teacher turnover. It also supports a community of practice model for directors and teachers.



Short-term behavioral interventions and consultation with parents and caregivers are also provided for identified children whose behavior requires additional supports.

Why invest?

- Only 1 in 3 Miami-Dade kindergartners arrive at school meeting expectations for readiness.⁷
- High-quality early care and education programs combining evidence-based curricula with trained, qualified teachers and coaching supports produce positive effects across multiple school readiness domains, such as social-emotional, academic and health outcomes, well into adulthood.^{8, 9, 10, 11}
- Quality programs can yield a \$7 to \$17 return for every dollar invested in reduced costs to a myriad of social systems.^{12, 13, 14} Return on investment estimates for universal prekindergarten programs range from \$3 to \$5 for every dollar invested.¹⁵

Initiative results:



Across Quality Counts programs this year:

- 70 percent improved (38 percent) or maintained (32 percent) their learning environment quality.
- 50 percent of teaching staff without a Florida Staff Credential earned one.
- Turnover amongst practitioners receiving WAGE\$ supplements was 8 percent (vs. 20 percent for all Quality Counts programs and 30 percent industrywide).
- 70 percent are Gold Seal accredited.

Children receiving short-term behavioral intervention showed improvement on:



NUMBERS AT A GLANCE

\$2.4 million
in 2016-17 budget

2,272
children served through 1,430 contracted slots

49%
of programs are located in a high-poverty neighborhood

\$1.5 million
in 2016-17 budget

11
sites funded through eight contracts in Summer 2017

540
children served for an average of 31 days of camp

57%
of children live in a high-poverty neighborhood

EARLY CHILDHOOD DEVELOPMENT

Early Care & Education Slots

Child Care Slots Match

The Trust leverages federal and state funding through match grants to community partners, including Early Head Start programs and the Child Care Executive Partnership fund that helps low-to-moderate wage-earning families and farmworkers with child care costs. All slots focus on children whose parents are economically disadvantaged, with priority for children with disabilities and those deemed at risk for neglect or abuse.



Why invest?

- 1 in 3 parents of young children in Miami-Dade report difficulty finding affordable, high-quality early care and education, a task even more difficult for low-income and single parents.¹⁶ Less than half of income-eligible children (birth to 5 below 150 percent of poverty level) have a school-readiness or Head Start/Early Head Start program available to them.
- Funding child care slots increases labor force participation for low-income workers as child care costs can be more expensive than college tuition.¹⁷

Early Intervention Summer Programs

Summer camp programs for young children with disabilities using evidence-based curricula promote school readiness through the development of literacy, numeracy, motor and social-emotional skills. These programs fill a gap in services during the summer months for children who receive school-year early intervention and special education programming. Some programs also offer parenting workshops and parent-child interaction therapy.

Why invest?

- Early intervention for young children at risk for developmental delays is positively associated with outcomes across developmental domains including health, language and communication, cognitive development and social-emotional development.¹⁸
- Positive early experiences for children with developmental delays and disabilities are critical for success in school, the workplace and the community.¹⁹ Families benefit by being able to better meet their children's special needs from an early age and throughout their lives.²⁰
- Benefits in children's development may also reduce the need for special education placements and remedial education, thereby lowering public school expenditures.²¹

Children maintained or showed improvement on:



EARLY CHILDHOOD DEVELOPMENT

NUMBERS AT A GLANCE

\$2.9 million
in 2016-17 budget

8
Community-based research projects

390
children with new cases opened

117
children assessed of which 91% were diagnosed with ASD

403
children served for an average of 12 sessions

39%
of children live in a high-poverty neighborhood

Developmental Screening, Assessment & Early Intervention

Investments are focused along a continuum ranging from research projects and universal early screening to more thorough assessment and early intervention referrals and services as needed.

Why invest?

Miami-Dade County has a higher prevalence of children birth to 5 with special needs than the state or nation.²² Screening and supporting young children's physical, social and behavioral development is an effective, efficient way to catch problems and promote optimal outcomes during the critical early years when a child's brain and body are rapidly developing. Early detection of delays and intervention can ameliorate or prevent developmental problems, reduce later grade retention and educational costs, and improve parent-child and family relations.²³



Early Childhood Community Research Demonstration Projects are intended to expand the reach and testing of promising early childhood practices in Miami-Dade County's high need areas/populations. Projects have participatory community-research partnerships with a clear connection to early childhood outcomes and children's readiness for school.

Help Me Grow is a national initiative intended to identify children birth to 8 years who are at risk for developmental or behavioral disabilities, and connect them with community-based programs for health and developmental services. This is funded as an integrated part of our 211 Helpline.

Autism Spectrum Assessment includes psychoeducational/diagnostic evaluations for children ages 2 to 5 years who present with complex behavior suggestive of an autism spectrum disorder (ASD). Children are referred by Miami-Dade County Public Schools or Early Steps and receive thorough evaluations by highly specialized, experienced clinicians. Upon completion, families receive detailed feedback of their child's test results, diagnosis and recommended interventions, as well as connections to specialized school and intervention services.

Early Discovery provides assessment, care coordination and early intervention services to children birth to 5 who do not meet eligibility requirements for the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, Parts B/C, but nonetheless have mild developmental delays (10-29 percent) and can benefit from intervention. Children are referred from Early Steps and Florida Diagnostic and Learning Resources System (FDLRS) when they do not meet state eligibility. Services include short-term speech/language, occupational, behavioral and/or developmental intervention in the child's home, early care and education program or provider's office.

Children showed improvement on:



■ Participants with Pre-Post

88% of Early Discovery participants enrolled in public schools did not need special education services following their interventions.

YOUTH DEVELOPMENT

NUMBERS AT A GLANCE

\$19.7 million
in 2016-17 budget

174
sites across
69 contracts

13,314
children and
youth served
through 10,711
contracted slots

155
days attended
on average

19%
of children and
youth served
report living with
disabilities

65%
of children and
youth live in a
high-poverty
neighborhood

After-School Programs

Programs support and expand the school environment, providing elementary and middle school students with a valuable safety net of care during hours when many parents are working.

These programs stimulate academic, athletic, cultural and social learning in nurturing, supervised environments that implement evidence-based practices in reading/literacy, fitness, homework completion and social skills, as well as provide nutritious food and family involvement activities.




Why invest?

- After-school programs can reduce risk factors associated with high school dropout, such as academic and homework failure, high absenteeism and lack of school bonding. Just one high school dropout costs society \$390,000 to \$580,000.²⁴
- Children and youth in after-school programs can increase annual earned income by \$830 million, increase annual revenues by almost \$76 million, save more than \$12 billion in lifetime health costs and save more than \$63 million annually in crime-related costs.²⁵
- For every dollar invested in quality after-school programs, tax payers save approximately \$3. Additionally, benefits from crime reduction increase the savings from \$8 to \$12.²⁶

Initiative results:

- 84 percent of children and youth remained engaged in programs throughout the 180 day school year, with standard programming that included 30 minutes/day of fitness activities and 2.5 hours/week of reading supports.
- After-school programs assess fitness and literacy skills three times per year to identify children and youth who require additional supports, as well as track outcome achievement.

Children showed improvement on:



YOUTH DEVELOPMENT

NUMBERS AT A GLANCE

\$13.3 million
in 2016-17 budget

162
sites across
81 contracts in
summer 2017

15,049
children and
youth served

31
days attended
on average

836
young struggling
readers received
additional small-
group reading
intervention

20%
of children and
youth served
report living with
disabilities

59%
of children and
youth live in a
high-poverty
neighborhood

Summer Camps & Reading Enhancements

Summer camps engage elementary and middle school children and youth in enriching, structured activities during a time when many students lose about two months of grade-level equivalency in math and reading.

Camps offer literacy, fitness, arts and social learning opportunities, while providing working parents a valuable safety net of care. Young children entering kindergarten, first and second grade identified as struggling readers receive small group reading intervention four days/week from the Summer Reading Explorers program.




Why invest?

- Summer programs that include academic and other activities have the potential to close the achievement gap and improve academic and social outcomes for children who might not have access to educational, social and cultural resources.²⁷
- Summer learning losses when students are not engaged educationally over the summer typically require teachers to spend the first four to six weeks of a new school year re-teaching forgotten material.²⁸
- Two-thirds of the income-based achievement gap is attributed to summer learning loss by the start of high school.²⁹

Initiative results:

- The 2017 Summer Reading Explorers program assessed more than 1,700 rising kindergarten, first and second graders at 53 camp sites, identifying 836 as struggling readers who then received small group intervention for an average of 18 sessions over the summer:
 - 84 increased reading levels or maintained at instructional level or higher.
 - 136 children ending the summer at frustrational levels were referred for continued reading intervention supports in school.
- Standard programming included an hour of fitness activities and an hour of reading supports daily for all children, typically over the course of a six-week program (30 days).
- Summer camps assess literacy and fitness skills twice during the summer to identify children and youth who require additional supports, as well as track outcome achievement.

Children showed improvement on:



■ Participants with Pre-Post

YOUTH DEVELOPMENT

NUMBERS AT A GLANCE

\$9.4 million
in 2016-17 budget

167 sites across 42 contracts and **539** summer employment locations

7,503 youth served

320,000+ hours of programming received

23% of youth served report living with disabilities

73% of youth live in a high-poverty neighborhood

Youth Enrichment Programs

Programs offer disadvantaged middle- and high-school age youth (as well as young adults transitioning from the foster care system or living with disabilities) the ability to expand their horizons; develop important social, emotional, cognitive and physical skills; and explore opportunities that can lead them to develop lifelong interests and success.


Programs provide academic and social/life skills support while focusing on youth employment, arts, STEM, sports and/or service-learning programming.




Why invest?

Research suggests that youth participating in enrichment activities³⁰ can: increase academic achievement and attachment to school and learning,³¹ decrease risky behaviors and delinquency,³² improve relationships with others,³³ improve growth in personal and physical development, and increase post-secondary preparation while successfully transitioning to adulthood.

For the initiatives that reported outcomes, youth showed improvement on:



Based on primary activity focus, youth showed improvement on:



■ Participants with Pre-Post

Partnering for Impact in Arts and Summer Youth Employment

The Trust has partnered with Miami-Dade County Public Schools, the Foundation for New Education, Miami-Dade County and its Department of Cultural Affairs to serve additional youth with leveraged funding:

- Our match funding of \$1.2 million to Cultural Affairs has led to arts programming for more than 60,200 children and youth across the county.
- In 2017, summer youth employment match funding of \$750,000 resulted in 1,712 at-risk youth gaining paid employment opportunities – as well as high-school/college credit – across Miami-Dade County.

HEALTH & WELLNESS

NUMBERS AT A GLANCE

\$13.7 million
in 2016-17 budget

145
public school sites across six contracts

94,293
unduplicated students served through 282,007 visits

83%
of students returned to class after receiving school health services

50%
of schools are located in high-poverty neighborhoods

Comprehensive School-Based Health

This collaborative partnership between The Trust, Miami-Dade County Health Department and Miami-Dade County Public Schools provides nursing and social work services, as well as oral health training, vision screening and a comprehensive school health services reporting system.

The program is designed to improve access to quality health care through delivery of basic services in the school and appropriate referrals for community care.




Why invest?

- Schools and students with access to school nurse services benefit in many ways, including: academic achievement (reduced absenteeism and early dismissals, better grades, better education outcomes),^{34,35,36} better health and education outcomes for students with special health needs,³⁷ reduced teacher time spent on student illness or injury,³⁸ early detection of potential vision deficits and appropriate referrals for treatment,³⁹ and reduced inappropriate use of emergency rooms.^{40,41}
- School-based health centers reduced health care access disparities among African-American students and those with disabilities, with more dental services, less prescription drug use, more mental health services and fewer hospitalizations, with an estimated Medicaid savings of about \$35 per student per year.⁴²
- More than 51 million school hours are lost each year due to dental-related illness.⁴³ One study found children with poor oral health status were nearly three times more likely than their counterparts to miss school as a result of dental pain, and absences caused by pain were associated with poorer school performance.⁴⁴

Initiative results:

- 17 percent (33,734) of school health visits resulted in a referral – most were to medical providers/primary care physicians (90 percent).
- Social workers completed 11,803 encounters, of which 35 percent were for counseling.
- 175 school health staff were trained to conduct oral health assessments, screen for oral diseases, provide oral health education, apply fluoride varnishes and identify children requiring further assessment, resulting in 7,340 children screened for oral health and 1,620 children referred for further services.
- 36,304 students were screened for vision, and 6,545 financially-disadvantaged children received comprehensive eye exams, resulting in 4,607 receiving corrective eyeglasses.
- 39,056 students were screened for weight, and 8,478 children/youth were referred for further services.

Students screened for:



HEALTH & WELLNESS

Other Programs



\$1.7 million
 in 2016-17 budget

The Trust funds other programs that support comprehensive health and wellness services for children and families through community partners. These programs work directly with families while also supporting service providers funded within other investment areas.

5,161
 children enrolled in health insurance

Insurance Enrollment programs assist vulnerable and hard-to-reach families apply for public health insurance for their children. The initiative embeds culturally competent health navigators in local clinics to overcome barriers to care. Participants also receive assistance with applications for other benefits such as food stamps and temporary cash assistance.

3,386
 adults approved for health insurance or other public benefits

Why invest?

- Health insurance increases access to preventive services, prescription benefits, and mental health and other services, impacting continuity of care.⁴⁵ Coverage improves access to care for children and youth with disabilities by ensuring a primary care provider, reducing unmet medical and oral health needs, and allowing access to specialty and ancillary services.⁴⁶
- About 35,000 Miami-Dade County children and youth under 18 lack health insurance⁴⁷ coverage due to barriers such as unawareness, limited family literacy and English proficiency, differing family immigration statuses, excessive paperwork and other procedural hurdles.⁴⁸

770
 individuals trained through 113 sessions on passenger, home, water & playground safety

Injury Prevention Education and resources for Trust-funded providers on motor vehicle, home and water safety, as well as a four-day car passenger safety certification course.

Why invest?

- In the U.S., childhood unintentional injuries are the leading cause of death among children ages 1 to 19, representing about 43 percent of all deaths. Each year, nearly 9.2 million children and youth are seen in emergency rooms for injuries – and 12,175 of them die.⁴⁹
- Injury treatment is the leading medical expense for children and youth. Unintentional injuries in the U.S. have an estimated cost of nearly \$300 billion per year.⁵⁰ In Miami-Dade County, drowning is the leading cause of death among children ages 1 to 5 years.⁵¹

1,479
 staff and parents trained on healthy eating

Food & Nutrition are important for growth and development during childhood and adolescence. All after-school and summer programs provide nutritious snacks that meet the U.S. Department of Agriculture requirements. This program provides snacks and supper, including monitoring, food acquisition and distribution, meal planning and facilitation of applications to Florida Department of Health (DOH) for federally subsidized food.

\$531K leveraged in federal funding

591,035
 snacks distributed to 73 sites

Why invest?

- Children whose basic nutritional and fitness needs are met attain higher levels of academic achievement.⁵²



\$850K invested

127,805
 suppers distributed to 33 sites



FAMILY & NEIGHBORHOOD SUPPORTS

NUMBERS AT A GLANCE

\$6.4 million
in 2016-17 budget

80
sites across 16 contracts

23
geographic areas served

3,923
participants, representing 2,504 families served

38%
of children/youth with disabilities served

85%
of participants live in a high-poverty neighborhood

Placed-Based Service Partnerships

Comprehensive programs are designed to address challenges associated with concentrated poverty at the neighborhood level, such as low educational achievement, high rates of youth violence and other harmful conditions that threaten individual and community health. Individualized, needs-based wraparound services are designed to stabilize the environments of high-risk children and their families.

Cross-sector collaborations are also established to assist families and communities in becoming more engaged, connected and resilient.



Why invest?

- Children growing up in high-poverty neighborhoods are much less likely to have access to high-quality schools, other public services and safe places to play that can help them thrive. Relatedly, they are more likely to have poor physical and mental health, cognitive delays, risky sexual behavior and delinquency.⁵³
- Children who reside in disadvantaged neighborhoods for sustained periods of time are less likely to achieve important adult milestones, such as graduating from high school and enrolling in and completing college.⁵⁴ Just one high school dropout costs society \$390,000 to \$580,000.⁵⁵

Initiative results:

- Geographic areas served: Allapattah, Brownsville, Coconut Grove, Cutler Bay, Flagami, Florida City, Goulds, Hialeah, Homestead, Leisure City, Liberty City, Little Haiti, Little Havana, Miami Beach, Miami Gardens, Naranja, North Miami, North Miami Beach, Opa-Locka, Overtown, South Perrine, Richmond Heights and West Little River.
- Families receive an average of six months of care coordination services that include engagement in family team meetings and communitywide events.
- In addition to this programming, the Together for Children collaboration to address neighborhood-specific youth violence launched the iAttend Truancy Prevention Program in summer 2017 with 168 home visits, 1,849 parent conferences and 72 referrals for services.

Families & children receiving care coordination:


Decreased parenting stress



Reduced child problem behavior



Passed school core courses



■ Participants with Pre-Post



FAMILY & NEIGHBORHOOD SUPPORTS

NUMBERS AT A GLANCE

\$2.6 million
in 2016-17 budget

1,791 participants, representing 1,294 families served

66% of participants live in a high-poverty neighborhood

Countywide Partnerships

Countywide partnerships aim to provide critical supports for children, youth and families facing specific challenging life experiences.

Programs focus on providing behavioral health interventions and parent education and support services for children exposed to intensive family conflict and domestic violence; strengthening parent-child relationships for children of incarcerated parents; increasing cultural competency and support for at-risk gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender or questioning youth (GLBTQ); preparing foster youth involved in the child welfare system for successful transition into adulthood; and improving legal education and representation for undocumented children living alone in the United States.



Why invest?

Children Exposed to Family Conflict & Domestic Violence exhibit a host of behavioral and emotional problems. For most children, a strong relationship with a parent is a key factor in helping a child heal from the effects of domestic violence.⁵⁶

Children of Incarcerated Parents lack the opportunity to form or develop a strong parent-child bond. The trauma of being separated from a parent, along with a lack of sympathy or support from others, can increase children's mental health issues, such as depression and anxiety, and hamper educational achievement.⁵⁷

Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual, Transgender, Questioning (GLBTQ) Youth whose parents are supportive have better overall mental health and self-esteem, and are less likely to experience depression, use illegal drugs, or contemplate or attempt suicide.⁵⁸

Youth Involved in the Child Welfare System generally face life "on their own" and often confront the harsh realities of life as an adult without family relationships and resources to support them. Foster youth must be aware of their rights to successfully transition into adulthood.⁵⁹


Unaccompanied, Immigrant Minors have no legal rights and are not provided with legal representation unless voluntarily provided by social service agencies. Without legal representation, unaccompanied minors can spend months to years in detention, face a judge alone or be unjustly deported.⁶⁰

Initiative results:

Caregiver **reduced** risk associated with child abuse or neglect:



Children **increased** sense of attachment to incarcerated caregiver:



Youth **improved** proactive coping skills:



Youth **increased** knowledge of their legal rights:



Youth **increased** knowledge of their dependency legal rights:



■ Participants with Pre-Post



FAMILY & NEIGHBORHOOD SUPPORTS

NUMBERS AT A GLANCE

\$1.4 million
in 2016-17 budget

36,700
callers assisted with 42,200 needs


20,100
individuals making 44,500 online searches for services

4,000 resources & **9,400** services provided by **1,200** agencies listed in the online HELP Pages

55% of callers live in a high-poverty ZIP code

211 Helpline

Miami-Dade County's 211 Helpline, fully funded by The Children's Trust, connects children and families to needed services by providing an efficient source of information and referrals for available health and human services programs. The Helpline is trilingual, available 24 hours a day, every day of the year and also offers crisis counseling. Trained counselors use a continuously updated resource directory, known as the online HELP Pages, to provide information and referrals. The HELP Pages information is also searchable by web-based users.



Why invest?


- The ability to link individuals in need to available information, resources and social services is the first step to providing access to services, and plays a crucial role during emergencies and natural disasters.
- A 2009 cost-benefit study identified a total social value associated with 211 services at 2.6 times their operational costs.⁶¹

Initiative results:

Problems/Needs of 211 Helpline Callers
(July 2016-June 2017: 47,215 problems/needs identified)

18,983 Basic Needs	4,736 Health Care	3,162 All Other
	5,979 Information, Consumer & Public Safety	
	6,326 Mental Health/Substance Abuse	
	8,065 Education, Family & Community Supports	

211 Helpline callers satisfied with:





COMMUNITY AWARENESS & ADVOCACY

NUMBERS AT A GLANCE

\$0.2 million
in 2016-17 budget

Promote Public Policy, Advocacy & Legislative Agendas

The Trust plays a leading role in advocacy on behalf of Miami-Dade children, and continues to build a statewide coalition through legislative leadership with a number of statewide organizations and coalitions such as the Florida Children's Council (The Council), First 1,000 Days Coalition, Early Childhood Consortium, Florida Juvenile Justice Association, Florida Covering Kids and Families, United Way and The Florida Children's Movement.



The Trust also continues its legislative leadership and advocacy efforts at the local level with partners such as United Way of Miami-Dade County and the Early Learning Coalition of Miami-Dade/Monroe to continue the Miami-Dade Advocacy Institute (MDAI), which provides advocacy trainings to child care providers, parents and advocates. Additionally, The Trust produces the weekly newsletter *Capitol Connection* during the legislative session to keep the community informed about legislative progress and actions to take on behalf of children.

Why invest?

- Miami-Dade has great disparities, poverty and challenges in some communities. Laws and public policies that improve the lives of our children are greatly needed. In order to effectuate passage of these laws at the state, local and federal levels, it is necessary to advocate for child and family issues and to sensitize legislators to the needs of our community.

Initiative results:

This past session, the public policy team worked to secure funds and the passage of several bills for children in the areas of early learning and care, health, safety, child welfare and juvenile justice, including:

- The Council and The Children's Trust worked together to engage key legislative leaders in order to ensure passage of the Child Welfare Bill, HB 1121, signed into law by Governor Scott in June.
- The Council worked to pass language establishing the Committee on Early Grade Success to develop a proposal for a coordinated child assessment system for the School Readiness Program, Voluntary Prekindergarten Education Program and the Kindergarten Readiness Assessment.
- With support from the Council, a bill to amend multiple statutes to include the term "commercial sexual exploitation" was signed by the Governor in May. This bill also clarifies procedures and specifies additional requirements of the DCF pertaining to sexual exploitation and human trafficking.
- A number of revisions were made to the current law to improve the care of children in the welfare system. The bill also allows certain children services councils to remain in existence without additional voter approval in 2020 if they were approved for a second time since 2005, which includes The Children's Trust.
- A bill was approved to create requirements for the Department of Health relating to the newborn screening program that promotes the screening of all newborns born in Florida for metabolic, hereditary and congenital disorders.



COMMUNITY AWARENESS & ADVOCACY

NUMBERS AT A GLANCE

\$3.0 million
in 2016-17 budget

743,178
Trust website page views

5,139
average monthly searches in The Trust program directory

10,000
children, youth and family members attended The Trust Family Expo

Public Awareness & Program Promotion

Communication and outreach efforts foster awareness, understanding and support for our many programs and services, all geared toward building stronger families and helping children reach their fullest potential. Through a focused, strategic approach, we utilize a full array of modern media in the three principle languages of our community – English, Spanish and Haitian Creole.



Efforts include the execution of signature events and sponsorships (Champions for Children, Family Expo and the Young Talent Big Dreams talent competition); grassroots community outreach; public awareness campaigns that utilize tools such as broadcast, digital and print advertising; a completely redesigned, mobile-friendly and much easier to navigate website; a mobile app; media appearances; our own televised talk shows; printed resource materials; and print and e-newsletters. Our websites demonstrate substantially increased traffic, our email marketing list grows weekly, and we have solidified our presence and increased our following on five social media networks – Facebook, Twitter, LinkedIn, Google+ and Instagram. These many vehicles help to advance The Trust’s position as a recognized leader in planning, advocating for and funding quality services that improve the lives of children and families.

Initiative results:

- A new marketing strategy has increased The Children’s Trust social media presence by 174 percent and increased our overall name recognition in Miami-Dade County above 50 percent for the first time since 2008. Name recognition among parents with children in the home ages birth-18 years has hit 59 percent.
- The Children’s Trust mobile app was downloaded by 5,959 users, supporting the findings in our 2016 survey which indicated that 54 percent of low-income blacks and Hispanics use apps on their cell phones.
- More than 20,000 copies of our monthly parenting newsletter, *Parenting Our Children* – published in English, Spanish and Haitian Creole – are printed and distributed each month; the e-version reaches roughly 20,000 subscribers in Spanish and more than 10,000 subscribers in English.
- Approximately 14,600 viewers on average tune in for each *Our Children* television show episode, broadcast five times a year on WSVN 7.
- The Children’s Trust Facebook page closed the fiscal year with 15,269 new page likes. Our Facebook posts reached nearly 7 million people; 736,742 organically and 5,621,493 paid (boosted), resulting in an average daily reach of more than 561,000 people.
- Each month, the Heart Gallery website registers 12,000 page views on average, for a total of 148,485 over the past 12 months. Since its launch in 2008, 331 children have been featured; approximately half have been adopted or are in the process of being adopted.

COMMUNITY AWARENESS & ADVOCACY

NUMBERS AT A GLANCE

\$1.7 million
in 2016-17 budget

100
sites across three contracts

21,252
members in Read to Learn Book Club across the years

246,212
books distributed in 2016-17

207
public schools have participated

20,656
kindergartners with EDIs collected

Promote Citizen Engagement & Leadership

We aim to identify and strengthen community assets through citizen capacity building, neighborhood-based resident input through population data collection and analysis, and technical assistance to encourage creative strategies for addressing chronic community issues and improving child and family conditions.

Citizen capacity building may take the form of improving social networks, enhancing cooperative efforts and material resources, and facilitating discussion regarding educational and environmental outcomes. Empowered community residents, working in tandem with governments and organizations, are uniquely suited to generate solutions that align with their particular circumstances, culture and capacities.



Citizen capacity building may take the form of improving social networks, enhancing cooperative efforts and material resources, and facilitating discussion regarding educational and environmental outcomes. Empowered community residents, working in tandem with governments and organizations, are uniquely suited to generate solutions that align with their particular circumstances, culture and capacities.

Read to Learn increases citizen engagement around grade-level reading.

- Reach Out and Read is a national evidence-based model supporting medical providers in promoting early literacy and school readiness by giving new books to children and advice to parents about the importance of reading with their child.
- The Read to Learn Book Club distributes free books countywide in English, Spanish or Haitian Creole to an average of 3,000 3-year-old children each month. Registered 3-year-olds receive books until their fourth birthday. Age-appropriate books are accompanied with instructions for parents/caregivers on how to maximize learning with each book. The program also offers workshops to 100 families of 4-year-old children to support their emergent literacy.
- Miami Book Fair@Miami Dade College implements the Read to Learn Books for Free program, an initiative designed to ensure that children and youth living in disadvantaged communities have access to books by maintaining 40 strategically placed public book shelves throughout the county where children can take home free books. Reading aloud activities occur at selected sites modeling to parents/caregivers early literacy development practices.

Early Development Instrument

The Trust has partnered with Miami-Dade County Public Schools over the past several years to collect data on kindergarten students' readiness for school using the Early Development Instrument (EDI). This effort is part of the national Transforming Early Childhood Community Systems (TECCS) initiative which informs local efforts to improve early childhood services and systems.

The EDI measures the percentage of kindergartners in a school and a neighborhood who are on track or vulnerable in five developmental domains (without identifying individual children). Results are mapped to illustrate children's developmental status across specific geographic areas. By combining EDI data with health, economic and other data resources available at the neighborhood level, TECCS helps communities map their needs and service gaps on a highly localized basis. This information becomes a roadmap for local action.

As more data become available, TECCS will support neighborhood-led problem-solving to design interventions, mobilize collective action and assess progress toward goals in communities throughout Miami-Dade County.



COMMUNITY AWARENESS & ADVOCACY

Promote Citizen Engagement & Leadership

Community engagement is a catalyst to release and support the capacity for communities to find their own solutions to problems. Launched in fall 2015, our community engagement team was created with the understanding that no amount of funding will suffice if residents themselves do not participate in the effort, through decision-making and consultation, to improve the well-being of children and families. Team members work across the county – listening, connecting and engaging – supporting participatory decision-making and helping to reveal and mobilize community assets, strengths and resources.



One primary effort focuses on People Acting to Revitalize Community Space (PARCS), employing a collective impact model where community groups lead the way supported by a backbone organization that convenes and coordinates partners. Parks and other public spaces serve as the hub of any vibrant community and provide a means to advance the developmental foundations outlined in our strategic plan. With community support and working strategically, the team has identified parks in throughout the county and is working to revitalize underutilized community space. Additionally, team members regularly attend a range of meetings – municipal, community and organizational – where they serve as ambassadors for The Trust to listen and lend support in a multitude of ways, including leveraging resources from other partners. Trust presence at these meetings and events also helps build trust and support community goodwill.

Why invest?

The Trust is but one member of a larger community of supportive organizations and efforts, and, as such, must work alongside residents and other community members to provide the essential foundations to enable children to achieve their potential. By listening to, connecting with and engaging stakeholders to cooperate and coordinate, the community engagement team advances The Trust's mission and vision.

Initiative results:

Trust-building, community empowerment and collaboration are by nature more challenging to quantify than other components of The Trust's operation. That said, since its official launch, the community engagement team has made significant inroads and progress in these endeavors, including:

- The Riverside Park Project continues to thrive. The leadership of the group and this work was formally transitioned to the Friends of Riverside Park, a group composed of and led by community members.
- In support of the Goulds Optimist Club, we helped to launch the first neighborhood marching band in Miami-Dade County's history.
- Launched Liberty City Reads, a group of dedicated residents who are committed to raising the reading level of children in Liberty City.
- Collaborated with Anthem Health to host health cooking and nutrition community demonstration events in Sweetwater.
- Expanded The Trust's Youth Advisory Committee (YAC) from one to three geographic areas, increasing access to more leadership development opportunities for youth in the North, Central and South regions of the county.

COMMUNITY AWARENESS & ADVOCACY

NUMBERS AT A GLANCE

\$5.6 million in 2016-17 including all investment area match funding & cross-funder collaboration

\$24.3 million in total leveraged funds

\$4.37 return on each Trust dollar invested

14 contracts

50+ collaborators

80,000 participants

Cross-Funder Collaboration

Cross-funder collaboration around shared goals, strategies and resources takes the form of multiple funders acting as one to align purposes and interests, pool resources and knowledge, and fund organizations that address complex issues, resulting in more than can be accomplished alone. Collaboration offers local match dollars to bring outside funding to Miami-Dade County.



Why invest?

Collaborating and providing match funding permits The Trust to learn from other funders' experiences and make more effective investments; scale efforts to efficiently use resources and provide community impact; strengthen Miami-Dade's presence regionally and nationally to attract external funds; and share responsibility for providing organizational capacity-building.

Projects funded and results:

Four contracts are directly funded through this area; another 10 contracts requiring match dollars are funded in other investment areas. Additionally, The Trust received a \$50,000 grant from Target to support after-school programs' fitness activities.

In this investment area The Trust supports:

- **Miami Children's Initiative (MCI)** is a large-scale social change effort in Liberty City with a cradle-to-career strategy of providing wraparound supports and programs to children and families. The Trust's \$235,000 leverages \$750,000 more to support more than 400 participants in MCI's early childhood, after-school, summer and youth programs. School-age youth have made great gains in reducing school absences and improving academic grades.
- **Catalyst Miami's Public Allies** program, leadership training for youth and young adults interested in guiding positive community change, combines a \$100,000 Trust investment with \$336,000 in other funds to give 16 youth apprenticeships that build leadership skills.
- **Community-Based Care Alliance**, which guides the provision of Miami-Dade County's child welfare services with the Florida Department of Children and Families, is supported with a \$55,000 investment from The Trust.
- **US Soccer Foundation** leverages more than twice The Trust's \$100,000 investment to offer evidence-based, health-centered, after-school soccer programs to about 1,000 youth.

The ten contracts funded within other investment areas include:

- Youth Development: **summer youth internships, summer camps and cultural arts programming** in coordination with Miami-Dade County and other partners.
- Family Strengthening: **iAttend Truancy Prevention** taking place through the **Together for Children** initiative with Miami-Dade County and Miami-Dade County Public Schools.
- Early Childhood Development: **VPK reading tutors and child care slots** for Early Head Start infants and toddlers and preschool children in partnership with the Early Learning Coalition, United Way and Redlands Christian Migrant Association.
- Supports for Quality Program Implementation: assisting **small community-based organizations** develop administrative capabilities, deliver quality services and establish sustainability.



PROGRAM & PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT

NUMBERS AT A GLANCE

\$3.8 million
in 2016-17 budget

1,938 training sessions attended by
5,580 agency staff last year

96 agencies received
1,412 on-site coaching sessions

57% of funded small CBOs successfully competed to receive an additional
\$1 million from other funders

Supports for Quality Program Implementation & Fiscal/Administrative Functions

This investment enhances staff knowledge and skills to strengthen providers' abilities to effectively deliver services and manage operations. Services include training, coaching, networking and access to resources.

The aim is to support agencies to:

- Deliver evidence-based services with fidelity
- Practice strong administrative and fiscal management
- Engage in continuous learning and quality improvement
- Ensure financial sustainability and diverse revenues
- Advance parent and community engagement and resident advocacy



Why invest?

- The National Implementation Research Network notes factors such as staff competencies and organizational supports as critical to sustaining implementation of high quality programs.^{62,63}
- Staff benefit from individualized coaching when learning new skills or based on identified needs. This includes the opportunity for supportive, direct observation of staff service delivery, with real-time feedback, modeling and opportunity to practice skills.⁶⁴ This level of support improves implementation and increases job satisfaction.^{65, 66}
- Foundations and public agencies provide capacity building for funded providers as a means to improve contract performance, meet accountability requirements, efficiently use resources and contribute to public policy goals.^{67, 68,69}

Initiative results:


Universal Supports:

- Evidence-based program training supports
- Inclusion of children/youth with disabilities
- Injury prevention education and resources
- Nutrition education for programs offering participant snacks/suppers
- Annual school health conference
- Access to and trainings on a national funder database through the Foundation Center
- Trust trainings around finance reporting, program implementation, grant writing, etc.

Targeted Supports:

- Peer mentoring pilot for fiscal and programmatic capacity building
- Accelerated Growth Nonprofit Forum, leadership development for executives of high-performing agencies
- On-site coaching around program delivery, inclusion of children/youth with disabilities, fiscal and contract management
- Funding and individualized supports for small community-based organizations (CBOs)

Participants demonstrated knowledge or awareness on:



■ Participants with Pre-Post



PROGRAM & PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT


NUMBERS AT A GLANCE

\$1.0 million
in 2016-17 budget

Program Evaluation & Community Research

The Trust leads on ensuring the availability of key data and information to inform policy decisions in support of children and families in Miami-Dade County. As required by statute, research and evaluation take place at multiple levels – from county and sub-county community research to cross-site initiative-level program evaluation and individual contract-level performance monitoring and review.

There is an emphasis on applying lessons from the field to incorporate best practices in Trust-funded programming, as well as on evaluating and continuously learning from our efforts and disseminating that learning across the community.



Why invest?

- Knowing and understanding factors related to children’s well-being across Miami-Dade County’s distinct neighborhoods, from their strengths and assets to their disadvantages and needs, requires community research.
- Program evaluation helps us understand and strengthen initiative effectiveness, as well as improve performance. Evaluation is a powerful tool to inform how to optimize scarce resources for maximum impact.
- As a steward of public dollars, it is critical to ensure effective accountability processes and tools for monitoring contract performance, with an emphasis on continuous learning and improvement and connection to needed capacity development supports. The Trust’s success depends on the success of funded providers.

Selected current projects

- Sharing data and coordinating services for families in response to prolonged firearms violence in Miami-Dade County neighborhoods through **Together for Children, a research-based coalition to address root causes of violence affecting our youth** involving governmental organizations, educational institutions, law enforcement, the justice system and funding entities that are collectively leveraging resources to carry out intervention strategies that are being developed and implemented with members of the neighborhoods impacted by youth violence.
- Assessing the **educational impact of Trust-funded programs** (attendance, behavior, academic achievement) to ensure alignment and efficiency of these services in coordination with M-DCPS.
- Creating an **early childhood integrated data system** to inform school readiness practice and policies, through a researcher-practitioner partnership with University of Miami, M-DCPS, Early Learning Coalition and Miami-Dade County Head Start.



PROGRAM & PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT

NUMBERS AT A GLANCE

\$1.0 million
in 2016-17
budget

13 projects
funded

Innovation Fund to Pilot New Strategies, Methods, Instruments & Partnerships

The Innovation Fund seeds new ideas and pilots new program designs promoting the optimal development of children. These are ideas and programs that have not previously been developed or tested in our diverse community.

The Trust expects to build on existing community assets and encourage collaboration by offering community partners the opportunity to identify an issue, develop a potential solution or implement imaginative strategies. Not all ideas are expected to be successful, as greater discovery and insight often result from ideas or approaches that do not work as expected than from those that do.



Why invest?

- Innovation is a driver of economic growth and an important basis for developing solutions to persistent economic and social challenges. While evidence-based programs yield proven results when implemented with fidelity, there is also a need for practice-based innovations to identify and address new or evolving social problems.
- Social innovation “becomes an imperative when problems are getting worse, when systems are not working or when institutions reflect past rather than present problems.”⁷⁰ Thus, public investment in innovation is needed and justified when there is persistent “market failure,” meaning free-market actors fail to allocate resources so as to optimize social welfare.⁷¹

Projects funded:

In 2016-17, the 13 projects were funded with \$897,000 in innovation dollars. These projects included:

- **Art Detectives**, a learning experience bringing together law enforcement and youth to foster a dialogue around police interaction with young people of color using inquiry-based art instruction.
- **Call Me MiSTER**, a college degree program and mentoring to young men of color who commit to teaching.
- **Urban Innovation**, neighborhood-level interventions to help residents turn their streets into safe spaces.
- **The Wallet Card Project**, project between youth with disabilities and law enforcement to improve interactions.
- **Easter Seals Life Skills Center**, evidenced-based model to teach life skills to students with autism.
- **First Star University**, brings rising 9th graders to live on the UM campus each summer throughout high school.
- **Permanent Connections Roundtable**, partnerships to create permanent connections for unaccompanied homeless youth.
- **Brownsville Unity Music Project (BUMP)**, a music mentoring and history education for youth.
- **Universal Children’s Savings Accounts**, planning to launch a universal savings account for kindergartners.
- **Tech Crunch at Thrive Campus**, technology-based community and youth urban farm program in Opa-locka.
- **Say Something Anonymous Reporting System**, platform for reporting of concerns, threats or risky behaviors.
- **Imagination Park**, unite children of inmates, law enforcement and the community to build public spaces.
- **Therapeutic Child Care Program**, for high special needs homeless children and their mothers, addressing trauma and mental health.



SERVICES FOR SPECIAL POPULATIONS

NUMBERS AT A GLANCE

\$26 million

invested across service areas for children and youth with disabilities in 2016-17

200

contracts served children and youth with disabilities

24,138

children and youth served who reported living with a disability

1,019

children and youth served who were reported to be in the dependency system

353

youth served who were reported to be in the delinquency system

Programs for Children & Youth with Special Needs

Removing barriers to services for children and youth with disabilities is a crosscutting strategy of The Children's Trust, with a significant commitment to include children, youth and families living with disabilities in all funded programs and services.

This involves programs designed specifically to support children and families with significant adaptive needs, as well as an emphasis on inclusive program practices. In support of the latter effort, a capacity building contract educates and supports all funded agencies in appropriately providing services that meet the needs of children and youth with disabilities.




Why invest?

- Florida has a higher prevalence of children and youth with special needs than the nation – 22 percent versus 19.⁷²
- The estimated average annual cost for a family with a child with a disability is \$30,500, including child care, reduced work hours or labor force participation, health care and other social program costs.⁷³ More specifically, average expenditures for individuals with autism spectrum disorder are 4.1 to 6.2 times greater than for those without this condition.
- 10 percent of parents of adolescents with special health care needs spent 11 or more hours weekly providing, arranging or coordinating care for their adolescent, a figure that is even higher among families with lower incomes.⁷⁴
- Although children with disabilities make up a small percentage of the overall child population, they account for a higher share of health-related costs.⁷⁵

Initiative results:

- Trust-funded programs served 14 percent of children with disabilities across most initiatives.
- Most common disability types reported are problems with attention or hyperactivity (ADHD/ADD), learning disability, speech or language conditions, and medical conditions.

Children with disabilities showed improvement on:



Budget for The Children's Trust


Description	2016-17 Budgeted Expenditures	2017-18 Budgeted Expenditures	Dollar Difference	Percentage Difference
SUSTAIN AND EXPAND DIRECT SERVICES				
Parenting	\$ 12,205,000	\$ 15,205,000	\$ 3,000,000	24.58%
Early childhood development	16,750,000	20,750,000	4,000,000	23.88%
Youth development	43,150,000	46,150,000	3,000,000	6.95%
Health and wellness	15,900,000	16,035,000	135,000	0.85%
Family and neighborhood supports	11,124,176	11,688,108	563,932	5.07%
Total sustain and expand direct services	\$ 99,129,176	\$ 109,828,108	\$ 10,698,932	10.79%
COMMUNITY AWARENESS AND ADVOCACY				
Promote public policy and legislative agendas	\$ 215,300	\$ 215,300	\$ -	0.00%
Public awareness and program promotion	2,969,000	2,969,000	-	0.00%
Promote citizen engagement and leadership to improve child and family conditions	1,700,000	1,700,000	-	0.00%
Cross-funder collaboration of goals, strategies and resources	1,035,000	1,035,000	-	0.00%
Total community engagement and advocacy	\$ 5,919,300	\$ 5,919,300	\$ -	0.00%
PROGRAM AND PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT				
Supports for quality program implementation	\$ 3,840,000	\$ 3,840,000	\$ -	0.00%
Information technology	800,000	800,000	-	0.00%
Program evaluation and community research	1,000,000	1,000,000	-	0.00%
Innovation fund	1,000,000	1,000,000	-	0.00%
Total program and professional development	\$ 6,640,000	\$ 6,640,000	\$ -	0.00%
ADMINISTRATION AND NON-OPERATING EXPENDITURES				
Management of The Children's Trust	\$ 10,735,888	\$ 10,418,930	\$ (316,958)	-2.95%
Non-operating expenditures	5,673,352	6,058,281	384,929	6.78%
Total administration and non-operating expenditures	\$ 16,409,240	\$ 16,477,211	\$ 67,971	0.41%
Total	\$ 128,097,716	\$ 138,864,619	\$ 10,766,903	8.41%

Contracted Service Providers

93rd St. Community Development Corp.
A Place of Refuge Academy
Abriendo Puertas
Abundant Living Citi Church
Adults Mankind Organization
Advocacy Network on Disabilities
Advocate Program
AileyCamp Miami/Adrienne Arsht Center for the Performing Arts
Alliance for Musical Arts Production
American Children's Orchestras for Peace
Americans for Immigrant Justice
Amigos Together for Kids
Area Stage Company
Arts Ballet Theatre of Florida
Arts for Learning
ArtSouth
ASPIRE To, Inc.
Ayuda
Barry University
Be Strong International
Belafonte TACOLCY Center
Borinquen Health Care Center
Boys and Girls Clubs of Miami-Dade
Branches
Breakthrough Miami
Buzzy Kids
Carlmar
Casa Valentina
Catalyst Miami
Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Miami
Center for Autism and Related Disabilities/UM and NSU
Center for Children and Families/FIU
Center for Social Change
Centro Mater Childcare Services
Chabad Chayil
Children of Inmates
Children's Forum
Children's Home Society
CIFFTA Adolescent and Family Indicated Prevention Program/UM
Citrus Health Network
City of Hialeah
City of Homestead
City of Miami Beach
City of Miami Gardens
City of North Bay Village
CMB Visions
Coach Sam Burley Foundation
Code Explorers
Colombian American Service Association (CASA)
Communities In School
Community Coalition
Community Health of South Florida
Concerned African Women
ConnectFamilias
Coral Gables Congregational Church
Dave and Mary Alper JCC
Debbie School/UM
Disability Independence Group
Diva Arts & Entertainment
Dr. John T. McDonald Foundation School Health Initiative/UM
Dream in Green
Early Discovery/UM
Early Learning Coalition of Miami-Dade
Easter Seals South Florida
Educate Tomorrow
Empowering Youth
enFAMILIA
ENLACE Miami/FIU
FAB Sports Academy
Families First/City of Miami
Families First/UM Dept of Pediatrics
Family & Children Faith Coalition
Family Central
Family Resource Center of South Florida
Fanm Ayisyen Nan Myami
Fatherhood Task Force of South Florida
First Star
First Step Champions
Fit Kids of America
FLIPANY
Florida Film Institute
Florida Grand Opera
FOCAL (Foundation of Community Assistance & Leadership)
Foster Care Review
Friends of the Bass Museum
Gang Alternative
Goulds Optimist Club
Grace Christian Ministry
Greater Miami Youth for Christ
Greater Miami Youth Symphony
Guitars Over Guns
Health Choice Network of Florida
Healthy Steps/UM
Historic Hampton House Community Trust
Injury Free Coalition for Kids/Jackson Health System
Institute for Child & Family Health
Jessie Trice Community Health Center
Jewish Community Services of South Florida
Jorge M. Perez Art Museum
Judah Christian Center Ministries
Just Kids Centers
Kayleen's Learning Center
Kids Learning Center of South Dade
Kids Learning Center of South Dade III
Kids Paradise Childcare & Learning Center
Kidworks USA
Kingdom Academy
Knowledge Builders of Florida

La Viña del Señor/Kidz XL
 Lago Mar Preschool
 Latinos United In Action Center
 Lawyers for Children America
 Leadership Learning Center at Saint John Bosco
 Linda Ray Intervention Center/UM
 Little Kingdom Child Care Center II
 Locust Projects
 Magic City Kids
 Mahogany Youth Corporation
 Mailman Center for Child Development/UM
 Marjory Stoneman Douglas Biscayne Nature Center
 Mexican American Council
 MEYGA (Multi Ethnic Youth Group Association)
 Miami Bethany Community Services
 Miami Children's Initiative
 Miami Children's Museum
 Miami City Ballet
 Miami Dade College School of Education
 Miami Dance Project
 Miami Lighthouse for the Blind & Visually Impaired
 Miami Music Project
 Miami Theater Center
 Miami Youth for Chamber Music
 Miami Youth Garden
 Miami-Dade County Department of Cultural Affairs
 Miami-Dade County Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces
 Miami-Dade County Summer Youth Internship Program
 Miami-Dade Family Learning Partnership
 Michael-Ann Russell JCC
 Museum of Contemporary Art
 Musically
 National Tropical Botanical Garden
 Network for Teaching Entrepreneurship
 New Horizons Community Mental Health Center
 New Jerusalem Community Development Corp.
 New World Symphony
 Nicklaus Children's Hospital
 O'Farrill Learning Center
 OIC of Broward County
 Opa-Locka Community Development Corp.
 Open Arms Community Center Corp.
 Overtown Youth Center
 Parent to Parent of Miami
 Department of Psychology/UM
 Parents as Teachers PAT 4U/FIU
 PATH: Preserving, Archiving & Teaching Hiphop
 PEACE CDC
 Playing the Game of Life
 Project Motherpath
 Project RISE/NSU
 Prosperity Social & Community Development Group
 P-SWAP Mentoring and Swim Organization
 Read to Learn Books for Free/MDC

Reading & Math
 Recapturing the Vision International
 Redlands Christian Migrant Association
 Resource Room
 Richmond-Perrine Optimist Club
 Rise Up 4 Change
 Sandy Hook Promise Foundation
 Sant La Haitian Neighborhood Center
 School Oral Health Program/NSU
 Seraphic Fire
 Shake-A-Leg Miami
 Silent Victims of Crime
 South Florida Center for Percussive Arts
 South Florida Youth Symphony
 Sundari Foundation
 Sunflowers Academy
 Sweet Vine
 Teen Up-ward Bound
 The Alliance for GLBTQ Youth, Inc
 The ARC of South Florida
 The Dance Now! Ensemble
 The Family Christian Association of America
 The Liberty City Optimist Club of Florida
 The Opera Atelier
 The Paragon Partnership
 The South Florida Boys Choir
 The Thinking Child Academy
 Thelma Gibson Health Initiative
 Theodore Roosevelt Gibson Memorial Fund
 Third Sector New England
 Thomas Armour Youth Ballet
 Thumbelina Learning Center Corporation
 Tiger and Dragon Group
 Tigertail Productions
 Touching Miami With Love Ministries
 Town of Cutler Bay
 Collaborative Action Research Study - Head Start VPK/FIU
 Trinity Church
 Triple P LEAN/FIU
 U.S. Soccer Foundation
 United Cerebral Palsy
 United Martial Arts Academy
 United Way of Miami-Dade
 University of Wynwood
 Urban Promise Miami
 Urgent
 Whispering Manes Therapeutic Riding Center
 World Literacy Crusade of Florida
 YMCA of Greater Miami
 Young Musicians Organization
 Young Parents Project of the 11th Judicial Circuit/FSU
 Youth Education through Sports
 YWCA Miami
 Zoo Miami Foundation



2016-17 Program Service Sites by Funding Area

Data Source:
 -The Children's Trust
 -US Census Bureau
 American Community Survey
 2011-15 5 year estimates

Location:
 Miami-Dade County,
 Florida

Created by
 Research and
 Evaluation
 November 2017

The Children's Trust
 3150 SW Third Ave
 Miami, FL 33129
www.thechildrenstrust.org

Miami-Dade Previous Value (Year)	Miami-Dade Most Recent Value (Year)	Change Between Years	State of Florida Most Recent Value	National (US) Most Recent Value
--	---	----------------------------	--	---------------------------------------

Family & Community Supports

Children attend quality child care

Child care programs participating in Quality Counts ¹	200 programs 13% (2008)	375 programs 24% (2017)	↑	not comparable	not comparable
Children attending Quality Counts child care programs ¹	11,500 (2008)	25,428 (2017)	↑	not comparable	not comparable
Quality Counts early care and education staff with 60 credits / Associate's or higher degree ²	704 staff 28% (2010)	1,065 staff 32% (2016)	↑	42% (2012)	53% (2012)

Children regularly access medical, dental and behavioral health care services

Children who regularly access medical services ⁵	89% (2007)	91% (2012)	↑	86% (2016)	86% (2016)
Children who regularly access dental services ⁵	66% (2007)	76% (2012)	↑	75% (2016)	81% (2016)
Children who regularly access behavioral health services ⁵	not available	13% (2012)	N/A	9.0% (2016)	7.4% (2016)
Children who have health insurance ⁹	80% (2008)	94% (2016)	↑	93% (2016)	96% (2016)
Children who have a medical home ⁵ (personal doctor or nurse)	85% (2007)	79% (2012)	↓	87% (2012)	90% (2012)

Children are supported by nurturing and involved parents

Parents who access quality parenting programs ⁵	not available	26% (2012)	N/A	not available	not available
Parental involvement in schools ⁵ (volunteer at least monthly)	not available	47% (2012)	N/A	not available	42% (2012)
Children in households with secure parental employment ⁹ (children with at least one resident parent employed full-time)	64% (2008)	67% (2015)	↑	69% (2015)	71% (2015)
Parental expectations for child's academic attainment ⁵ (a four year college degree or higher)	not available	89% (2012)	N/A	not comparable	not comparable
Children in families that eat meals together everyday ⁵	not available	51% (2012)	N/A	44% (2012)	47% (2012)
Children in food insecure households ³	30% (2009)	21% (2017)	↓	23% (2017)	18% (2017)
Child maltreatment rate ⁶ (per 1,000 children)	5.5 (2009-10)	4.4 (2016-17)	↓	9.9 (2016-17)	9.2 (2016-17)
Unintentional child injuries resulting in hospitalization ⁷ (per 100,000)	214 (2007)	156 (2014)	↓	not available	181 (2012)
Children living in safe neighborhoods ⁵	83% (2007)	83% (2012)	↔	86% (2012)	87% (2012)
Children living in supportive neighborhoods ⁵	77% (2007)	83% (2012)	↑	84% (2012)	88% (2012)

Child Well-Being

Children are ready for kindergarten

Kindergarteners meeting expectations for school readiness ⁴ (FLKRS)	35% (2014)	35% (2016)	↔	38% (2016)	not comparable
Children under 6 who are read to at least 3 times per week at home ⁵	73% (2007)	81% (2012)	↑	80% (2012)	82% (2012)

Students are succeeding academically

Elementary students attending school regularly ⁴ (< 10% absences annually)	95% (2006-07)	93% (2016-17)	↓	95% (2011-12)	not available
Middle students attending school regularly ⁴ (< 10% absences annually)	93% (2006-07)	92% (2016-17)	↓	91% (2011-12)	not available
High school students attending school regularly ⁴ (< 10% absences annually)	86% (2006-07)	85% (2016-17)	↓	86% (2011-12)	not available
3rd grade students reading at a satisfactory or higher level ⁴ (FSA ELA exam)	53% (2015)	58% (2017)	↑	58% (2017)	not comparable
4th grade students reading at or above the basic level ¹² (NAEP Reading exam)	68% (2009)	74% (2015)	↑	75% (2015)	69% (2015)
8th grade students reading at or above basic level ¹² (NAEP Reading exam)	73% (2009)	77% (2015)	↑	75% (2015)	76% (2015)
8th grade students math scores at or above basic level ¹² (NAEP Math exam)	64% (2009)	64% (2015)	↔	64% (2015)	71% (2015)



Headline Community Results and Indicators

updated December 21, 2017

Miami-Dade Previous Value (Year)	Miami-Dade Most Recent Value (Year)	Change Between Years	State of Florida Most Recent Value	National (US) Most Recent Value
--	---	----------------------------	--	---------------------------------------

Children meet recommended levels of physical activity

Middle school students describing themselves as being a healthy weight ⁸	78% (2007)	74% (2015)	↓	72% (2015)	not available
High school students describing themselves as being a healthy weight ⁸	75% (2007)	70% (2015)	↓	71% (2015)	68% (2015)
Middle school students meeting recommended levels of physical activity ⁸	39% (2007)	51% (2015)	↑	49% (2015)	not available
High school students meeting recommended levels of physical activity ⁸	33% (2007)	36% (2015)	↑	24% (2015)	49% (2015)

Children behave appropriately in schools, home and communities

Children who have behavioral, social and/or emotional problems ⁵	6.8% (2007)	7.7% (2012)	↑	5.0% (2009-10)	4.8% (2009-10)
Youth arrested for crimes ¹⁰ (per 1,000 youth ages 10 to 17 years)	28 (2009-10)	9 (2016-17)	↓	19 (2016-17)	29 (2012)

Youth successfully transition to adulthood

Teen birth rate ¹¹ (per 1,000 15-19 year old females)	36 (2007)	15 (2016)	↓	20 (2016)	20 (2016)
Youth who feel persistently sad ⁸	30% (2007)	25% (2015)	↓	26% (2015)	30% (2015)
Students graduating within four years of entering 9th grade ⁴	61% (2007-08)	80% (2015-16)	↑	81% (2015-16)	84% (2015-16)
Connected youth ⁹ (16-19 year olds who are in school and/or employed)	92% (2006)	94% (2016)	↑	93% (2016)	93% (2016)

Data Development Agenda

- Children ages birth to 18 who are regularly screened for physical, developmental, social and behavioral concerns
- Children's hope, engagement and well-being index
- Substance-free youth
- Built environment index

Data Sources: ¹Web-based Early Learning System (WELS) for Miami-Dade County; ²Miami-Dade Quality Counts Workforce Study; ³Map the Meal Gap, FeedingAmerica.org; ⁴Florida Dept of Education and Miami-Dade County Public Schools; ⁵Child Health and Well-being in Miami-Dade County: Household Survey Results; ⁶Florida Dept of Children and Families, Child Welfare; ⁷Florida Dept of Health, Hospital Discharge Data; ⁸Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System; ⁹US Census Bureau, American Community Survey; ¹⁰Florida Dept of Juvenile Justice; ¹¹Florida Dept of Health, Office of Vital Statistics; ¹²U.S. Dept of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Education Progress (NAEP)

Crosscutting Factors (2016)	Miami-Dade	Florida	United States
Distribution of children by age - all children (birth-17 years)	551,264	4,131,400	73,398,694
Annual births	32,679	225,018	
Under 6 years	34%	32%	32%
6-11 years	33%	33%	34%
12-17 years	33%	34%	34%
Diversity of children by race/ethnicity			
Hispanic	64%	31%	25%
White, non-Hispanic	14%	42%	51%
Black	21%	21%	14%
All other	1.7%	5.9%	10%
Children who are foreign born and/or with foreign-born parent(s)			
Child is foreign born	14%	5.3%	3.3%
Child has one or two foreign-born parent	68%	29%	26%
Primary home language competencies			
Children (ages 5-17) speak only English at home	31%	71%	78%
Children (ages 5-17) speak Spanish at home	60%	22%	16%
Family type			
Children in married-couple families	60%	64%	70%
Children in female householder families	32%	28%	24%
Children in male householder families	8.4%	7.9%	7.2%
Family poverty status			
Children in families with income less than 100% poverty level	24%	21%	19%
Children in families with income between 100-199% of poverty level	27%	25%	22%
Children in families with income greater than 200% poverty level	48%	54%	59%
Children with special health care needs/Children with disabilities			
Children with special health care needs	16%	20%	20%
M-DCPS students with disabilities	10.1%		

Data Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey 2016; Florida Department of Health, Office of Vital Statistics, 2016 births; Child Health and Well-being in Miami-Dade County: Parent Survey, 2012; National Survey of Children's Health, 2011/12; Miami-Dade County Public Schools, School Membership Oct 2017

End Notes

- ¹ Weiss, E., & Lee, G. (2009). Parenting education is economic development. Partnership for America's Economic Success, retrieved July 2016 from <http://cebcp.org/wp-content/CB20092/Piquero>.
- ² Piquero, A., Farrington, D., Welsh, B., Tremblay, R., & Jennings, W. (2008). Effects of early family/parent training programs on antisocial behavior and delinquency. Retrieved July 2016 from <https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/224989.pdf>.
- ³ Washington State Institute for Public Policy (2014). Benefit-cost analysis for child welfare programs. Olympia, WA, retrieved June 2016 from http://www.wsipp.wa.gov/BenefitCost/WsippBenefitCost_AllPrograms.
- ⁴ Our Kids (2014) and Florida Department of Children and Families (2014). Retrieved May 2016 from <http://centerforchildwelfare.fmhi.usf.edu/dev/cir11/Intake%20YTD%20Report-%20June%202014.xlsx>.
- ⁵ Gelles, R.J., & Perlman, S. (2012). Estimated annual cost of child abuse and neglect. Chicago IL: Prevent Child Abuse America. Retrieved from http://www.preventchildabuse.org/images/research/pcaa_cost_report_2012_gelles_perlman.pdf.
- ⁶ Fang, X., Brown, D.S., Florence, C.S., & Mercy, J.A. (2012). The economic burden of child maltreatment in the United States and implications for prevention. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 36(2), 156-165.
- ⁷ Florida Department of Education (2016). Florida Kindergarten Readiness Screener (FLKRS) Fall District Report of School Results for Miami-Dade County, Fall 2016.
- ⁸ Weiland, C., & Yoshikawa, H., (2013). Impacts of a prekindergarten program on children's mathematics, language, literacy, executive function, and emotional skills. *Child Development*, 84(6), 2112-2130.
- ⁹ Campbell, F.A., Pungello, E.P., Kainz, K., Burchinal, M., Pan, Y., Wasik, B.H., & Ramey, C.T. (2012). Adult outcomes as a function of an early childhood educational program: An abecedarian project follow-up. *Developmental Psychology*, 48(4), 1033–1043. Retrieved from <http://doi.org/10.1037/a0026644>.
- ¹⁰ Reynolds, A.J., Temple, J.A., Ou, S., Robertson, D.L., Mersky, J.P., Topitzes, J.W., & Niles, M.D. (2007). Effects of a school-based, early childhood intervention on adult health and well-being: A 19-year follow-up of low-income families. *Archives of Pediatric and Adolescent Medicine*, 161(8), 730-739.
- ¹¹ Reynolds, A.J., & Ou, S.R. (2011). Paths of effects from preschool to adult well-being: A confirmatory analysis of the child-parent center program. *Child Development*, 82, 555–582.
- ¹² Heckman, J.J. (2015). Invest in early childhood development: Reduce deficits, strengthen Florida's economy. Retrieved July 2016 from <http://heckmanequation.org/content/resource/invest-early-childhood-development-means-deficit-reduction-florida>.
- ¹³ Karoly, L.A., Kilburn, R.M., & Cannon, J.S. (2005). Early childhood interventions: Proven results, future promise. RAND Corporation. Retrieved July 2016 from http://www.rand.org/content/dam/rand/pubs/monographs/2005/RAND_MG341.pdf.
- ¹⁴ Reynolds, A.J., Temple, J.A., White, B.A.B., Ou, S.R., & Robertson, D.L. (2011). Age 26 cost–benefit analysis of the child-parent center early education program. *Child Development*, 82, 379–404.
- ¹⁵ Yoshikawa, H., Weiland, C., Brooks-Gunn, J., Burchinal, M.R., Espinosa, L.M., Gormley, W.T., & Zaslow, M.J. (2013). Investing in our future: The evidence base on preschool education. Society for Research in Child Development & Foundation for Child Development. Retrieved from <http://fcd-us.org/sites/default/files/Evidence%20Base%20on%20Preschool%20Education%20FINAL.pdf>.
- ¹⁶ The Children's Trust (2012). Child Health and Well-being in Miami-Dade County: Parent Survey.
- ¹⁷ Gould, E., & Cooke, T., (2015). High quality child care is out of reach for working families. Economic Policy Institute. Retrieved from <http://www.epi.org/publication/child-care-affordability>.
- ¹⁸ Goode, S., Diefendorf, M., & Colgan S. (2011). The importance of early intervention for infants and toddlers with disabilities and their families. The National Early Childhood Technical Assistance Center (NECTAC). Retrieved from <http://www.nectac.org/~pdfs/pubs/importanceofearlyintervention.pdf>.
- ¹⁹ Center on the Developing Child (2010). The foundations of lifelong health are built in early childhood. Retrieved from <http://developingchild.harvard.edu/resources/the-foundations-of-lifelong-health-are-built-in-early-childhood/>.
- ²⁰ American Speech-Language-Hearing Association (2008). Roles and responsibilities of speech-language pathologists in early intervention: Technical report. Retrieved from <http://www.asha.org/policy/TR2008-00290/>.
- ²¹ Executive Office of the President of the United States (2014). The economics of early childhood investments. Retrieved from https://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/docs/early_childhood_report1.pdf.
- ²² The Children's Trust (2012). Child Health and Well-being in Miami-Dade County: Parent Survey.
- ²³ Goode, S., Diefendorf, M., & Colgan S. (2011). The importance of early intervention for infants and toddlers with disabilities and their families. The National Early Childhood Technical Assistance Center (NECTAC). Retrieved from <http://www.nectac.org/~pdfs/pubs/importanceofearlyintervention.pdf>.
- ²⁴ Cohen, M., & Piquero, A. (2009). New evidence on the monetary value of saving a high risk youth. *Journal of Quantitative Criminology*, 25, 25-49.

- ²⁵ Oklahoma Afterschool Network (2011). Making the case for afterschool ROI: Economic return on investment for afterschool. Retrieved from <http://www.digitalprairie.ok.gov/cdm/ref/collection/stgovpub/id/97063>.
- ²⁶ Oklahoma Afterschool Network (2011). Making the case for afterschool ROI: Economic return on investment for afterschool. Retrieved from <http://www.digitalprairie.ok.gov/cdm/ref/collection/stgovpub/id/97063>.
- ²⁷ McCombs, J.S., Augustine, C.H., Schwartz, H.L., Bodilly, S.J., McInnis, B., Lichter, D.S., & Cross, A.B. (2011). Making summer count: How summer programs can boost children's learning. RAND Education. Retrieved from http://www.rand.org/content/dam/rand/pubs/monographs/2011/RAND_MG1120.pdf.
- ²⁸ National Summer Learning Association (2016). Smarter summers, brighter futures.
- ²⁹ National Summer Learning Association (2016). Smarter summers, brighter futures.
- ³⁰ Barber, B.L., Stone, M.R., & Eccles, J. S. (2005). Adolescent participation in organized activities. In *What Do Children Need to Flourish?* Springer US: pp. 133-146.
- ³¹ Moore, K.A., Caal, S., Carney, R., Lippman, L., Li, W., Muenks, K., Murphey, D., Princiotta, D., Ramirez, A.N., Rojas, A., Ryberg, R., Schmitz, H., Stratford, B., & Terzian, M.A. (2014). Making the grade: Assessing the evidence for integrated student supports. Washington, DC: Child Trends. Retrieved from <http://www.childtrends.org/?publications=making-the-grade-assessing-the-evidence-for-integrated-student-supports>.
- ³² Deschenes, S.N., Arbreton, A., Little, P.M., Herrera, C., Grossman, J.B., Weiss, H.B., & Lee, D. (2010). Engaging older youth: Program and city-level strategies to support sustained participation in out-of-school time. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Family Research Project. Retrieved from <http://www.hfrp.org/out-of-school-time/publications-resources/engaging-older-youth-program-and-city-level-strategies-to-support-sustained-participation-in-out-of-school-time>.
- ³³ The World Bank (2013). Life skills: What are they, why do they matter and how are they taught? Adolescent Girls Initiative Learning from Practice Series. Retrieved from http://www.worldbank.org/content/dam/Worldbank/document/Gender/1323447_AGI_LearningFromPracticeSeries.pdf.
- ³⁴ Pennington, N., & Delaney, E. (2008). The number of students sent home by school nurses compared to unlicensed personnel. *Journal of School Nursing*, 24 (5), 290-297.
- ³⁵ ASCD & Center for Disease Control and Prevention (2014). Whole school, whole community, whole child: A collaborative approach to learning and health. Retrieved from <http://www.ascd.org/ASCD/pdf/siteASCD/publications/wholechild/wssc-a-collaborative-approach.pdf>.
- ³⁶ Weismuller, P.C., Grasska, M.A., Alexander, M., White, C.G., & Kramer, P. (2007). Elementary school nurse interventions: Attendance and health outcomes. *Journal of School Nursing*, 23 (2), 111-118.
- ³⁷ Forrest, C.B., Bevans, K.B., Riley, A.W., Crespo, R., & Louis, T.A. (2011). School outcomes of children with special health care needs. *Pediatrics*, 128 (2), 303-312.
- ³⁸ Hill, N.J., & Hollis, M. (2012). Teacher time spent on student health issues and school nurse presence. *Journal of School Nursing*, 28 (3), 181-186.
- ³⁹ Basch, C.E. (2011). Vision and the achievement gap among urban minority youth. *Journal of School Health*, 81 (10), 599-605.
- ⁴⁰ Guo, J.J., Jang, R., Keller, K.N., McCracken, A.L., Pan, W., & Cluxton, R.J. (2005). Impact of school-based health centers on children with asthma. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 34 (4), 266-274.
- ⁴¹ Keeton, V., Soleimanpour, S., & Brindis, C.D. (2012). School-based health centers in an era of health care reform: Building on history. *Current Problems in Pediatric and Adolescent Health Care*, 42 (6), 132-158.
- ⁴² Guo, J.J., Wade, T.J., Pan, W., & Keller, K.N. (2010). School-based health centers: Cost-benefit analysis and impact on health care disparities. *American Journal of Public Health*, 100 (9), 1617-1623.
- ⁴³ U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (2000). Oral health in America: A report of the surgeon general – executive summary. Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research, National Institutes of Health.
- ⁴⁴ Jackson, S.L., Vann Jr., W.F., Kotch, J.B., Pahel, B.T., & Lee, J.Y. (2011). Impact of poor oral health on children's school attendance and performance. *American Journal of Public Health*, 101 (10), 1900-1906.
- ⁴⁵ Bernstein, J., Chollet, D., & Peterson, S. (2010). How does insurance coverage improve health outcomes? Mathematica Policy Research, Issue Brief No. 1. Retrieved from <https://www.mathematica-mpr.com/our-publications-and-findings/publications/how-does-insurance-coverage-improve-health-outcomes>.
- ⁴⁶ Szilagy, P.G. (2012). Health insurance and children with disabilities. *The Future of Children*, 22 (1), 123-148.
- ⁴⁷ U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, Table B27001.
- ⁴⁸ Dolatshahi, J., Hess, C., & Jee, J. (2013). Health care reform and children: Planning and design considerations for policymakers. National Academy for State Health Policy. Retrieved from http://www.nashp.org/sites/default/files/HCR.and_Children.revised.pdf.
- ⁴⁹ Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, & Web-based Injury Statistics Query and Reporting System (WISQARS) (2014).
- ⁵⁰ Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, & Web-based Injury Statistics Query and Reporting System (WISQARS) (2014).

- ⁵¹ Florida Department of Health (2013). Special emphasis report: Infant and early childhood injury. Retrieved from <http://www.floridahealth.gov/statistics-and-data/florida-injury-surveillance-system/documents/infant-and-child-emphasis-report-2013.pdf>.
- ⁵² Taras, H. (2005). Nutrition and student performance at school. *Journal of School Health*, 75(6), 199-213.
- ⁵³ Popkin, S.J., Acs, G., Smith, R. (2010). Understanding how place matters for kids. *Community Reinvestments*, 22(1):23-26. Retrieved from www.frbsf.org/community-development/files/Spring_CI_2010a.pdf.
- ⁵⁴ Samuelson, K. (2015). Poverty and promise: Community revitalization with place-based initiatives. Retrieved from <https://publicpolicy.wharton.upenn.edu/live/news/462-poverty-and-promise-community-revitalization-with>.
- ⁵⁵ Cohen, M., & Piquero, A. (2009). New evidence on the monetary value of saving a high risk youth. *Journal of Quantitative Criminology*, 25, 25-49.
- ⁵⁶ Edleson, J.L. (1997). Problems associated with children's witnessing of domestic violence. Harrisburg, PA: VAWnet, a project of the National Resource Center on Domestic Violence/Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence. Retrieved from http://www.vawnet.org/print-document.php?doc_id=392&find_type=web_sum_AR.
- ⁵⁷ Annie E. Casey Foundation (2016). A shared sentence: The devastating toll of parental incarceration on kids, families and communities. Retrieved from <http://www.aecf.org/resources/a-shared-sentence/>.
- ⁵⁸ Ryan, C., Huebner, D., Diaz, R.M., & Sanchez, J. (2009). Family rejection as a predictor of negative health outcomes in white and Latino lesbian, gay, and bisexual young adults. *American Academy of Pediatrics*, 123(1), 346-352.
- ⁵⁹ Child Welfare Information Gateway (2016). Rights of youth in foster care. Retrieved from <https://www.childwelfare.gov/topics/systemwide/youth/resourcesforyouth/rights-of-youth-in-foster-care/>.
- ⁶⁰ American Immigration Council (2015). A guide to children arriving at the border: Laws, policies and responses. Retrieved from <http://immigrationpolicy.org/special-reports/guide-children-arriving-border-laws-policies-and-responses>.
- ⁶¹ Souke, J., Takenaka, A., Roberts, B., & Ng, C. (2009). Benefit/cost analysis of aloha united way's 211 program: Final report. Malama Consulting Group. Retrieved June 2016 from <http://www.211us.org/documents/MalamaConsultingFinalReportForAUW.pdf>.
- ⁶² Fixsen, D.L., Naoom, S.F., Blase, K.A., Friedman, R.M., & Wallace, F. (2005). Implementation research: A synthesis of the literature. Tampa, FL: University of South Florida, Louis de la Porte Florida Mental Health Institute, The National Implementation Research Network (FMHI Publication #231).
- ⁶³ Fixsen, D.L., Blase, K.A., Naoom, S.F., & Wallace, F. (2009). Core implementation components. *Research on Social Work Practice*, 19(5), 531-540.
- ⁶⁴ Spouse, J. (2001). Bridging theory and practice in the supervisory relationship: A sociocultural perspective. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 33(4), 512-522.
- ⁶⁵ Aarons, G.A., Sommerfeld, D.H., Hecht, D.B., Silovsky, J.F., & Chaffin, M.J. (2009). The impact of evidence-based practice implementation and fidelity monitoring on staff turnover: Evidence for a protective effect. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 77 (2), 270-280.
- ⁶⁶ Joyce, B.R. & Showers, B. (2002). Student achievement through staff development (3rd edition). New York: ASCD.
- ⁶⁷ Collins, C., Phields, M., & Duncan, T. (2007). An agency capacity model to facilitate implementation of evidence-based behavioral interventions by community-based organizations. *Journal of Public Health Management Practice*, January (Suppl), S16-S23.
- ⁶⁸ Yang, K., Hsieh, J.Y., & Li, T.S. (2009). Contracting capacity and perceived contracting performance: Nonlinear effects and the role of time. *Public Administration Review*, 69 (4), 681- 696.
- ⁶⁹ Grantmakers for Effective Organizations (2015). Strengthening nonprofit capacity. Retrieved from <http://www.geofunders.org/resource-library/all/record/a066000000IbGXCAA3>.
- ⁷⁰ Mulgan, G. (2007). Social innovation: What it is, why it matters, and how it can be accelerated. Oxford: Skoll Centre for Social Entrepreneurship, SAID Business School, Oxford (quote from page 9).
- ⁷¹ Laranja, M., Uyerra, E., & Flanagan, K. (2008). Policies for science, technology and innovation: Translating rationales into regional policies in a multi-level setting. *Research Policy*, 37(5), 823-835.
- ⁷² Child and Adolescent Health Measurement Initiative. Data Resource Center for Child and Adolescent Health. 2016 National Survey of Children's Health (NSCH) data query. Retrieved on December 2017 from <http://www.childhealthdata.org/browse/survey/results?q=4661&r=1&r2=11>.
- ⁷³ Stabile, M., & Allin, S. (2012). The economic costs of childhood disability. *The Future of Children*, 22 (1), 65-96. Retrieved from <https://muse.jhu.edu/article/478965/pdf>.
- ⁷⁴ Park, M.J., Brindis, C.D., Vaughn, B., Barry, M., Guzman, L., & Berger, A. (2013). Adolescent health highlight: Chronic conditions (Publication #2013-08). Bethesda, MD: Child Trends and the National Adolescent and Young Adult Health Information Center at the University of California, San Francisco.
- ⁷⁵ Murphey, D., Cooper, M., & Moore, K.A. (2012). Children with disabilities: State-level data from the American Community Survey (Publication #2012-29). Child Trends Research Brief.