





OBSERVATIONS

HEADS UP

Dethroning the king of condiments

What is the link between salt, hypertension, and mortality?

Krishna Chinthapalli associate editor, BMJ



Killing an elephant is not easy. The Waliangulu tribesmen in Kenya use an arrow a metre long and dipped in the poisonous sap of the Acokanthera plant. They shoot from a few steps away into an elephant's underbelly so that the active toxin, ouabain, can rapidly enter the bloodstream. Ouabain molecules bind to and inhibit the sodium pump in cardiac muscle. Intracellular sodium thus increases, which stimulates another pump (the sodium-calcium exchanger) to get rid of sodium and take in calcium instead. The calcium ions make the myocyte contract permanently and can stop a 20 kg heart from beating again.

Ouabain circulates naturally in our own bloodstream too, but instead of stopping the heart it seems to make arteriolar smooth muscle contract and thus raise blood pressure. The theory is that increased dietary salt intake leads to sodium and water retention, which stimulates ouabain, which causes hypertension. But in practice the role of salt has been highly controversial.

Twenty five years ago the BMJ published the cross sectional Intersalt study of Kenyan villagers and 51 other populations from around the world.² Within each population a person's urinary sodium excretion (a reliable surrogate for salt intake) correlated with systolic blood pressure. Across populations higher salt intake was linked to a faster increase in blood pressure over time. In 2001 the DASH-sodium study, a randomised controlled trial of low, intermediate, or high salt diets, found that reducing salt intake could lower systolic blood pressure by up to 7 mm Hg.³ In 2007 long term follow-up of 2400 patients in trials of hypertension reduction indicated that education about diet, counselling, and a recommendation to cut

dietary salt led to 30% less risk of cardiovascular disease.⁴ Graham MacGregor, who has published books on a low salt diet and was president of the British Hypertension Society, collaborated with Feng He on a meta-analysis in the BMJ this summer.⁵ This indicated that reducing salt intake by 6 g a day could prevent 35 000 deaths a year in the United Kingdom.

This slow death from salt prompted the UK National Institute for Health and Care Excellence to say that we should be eating less than 3 g of salt a day by 2025, through gradual reduction of salt in foods. However, in September the Department of Health for England abandoned plans to set a maximum salt level on new food products.

The food industry has lobbied hard against salt reduction. Over four fifths of the salt we eat is in processed foods, in which it is a cheap way to improve taste and longevity. Even at 4 months of age infants prefer salty to unsalted water. Salt also enhances sweetness and inhibits bitterness: two years ago poor sales of its new low salt soups made Campbell Soup put salt content back up by 35%. For millennia salt has been used as a preservative: Clostridium botulinum in spaghetti sauce containing 1.5% salt produces no toxins but does so in sauce with 1% salt. In bacon, reducing salt from 3.5% to 2.3% halves the shelf life to 28 days. So it is no surprise that several companies have sought to obfuscate the link between salt and hypertension since the 1980s by highlighting negative studies or funding pro-salt research.⁶ Their trade lobby, the Salt Institute, says that salt is a "natural food ingredient with no calories" that can help you "live longer, live smarter, and live nutritiously."

Other experts also argue that the evidence cited above (and indeed our meals) should be taken with a pinch of salt. In the same BMJ issue as Intersalt, the observational Scottish Heart Health Study in 7300 adults found that potassium and alcohol but not sodium affected blood pressure, and an accompanying editorial stated, "Salt has only small importance in hypertension."

Gary Taubes, author of diet books and a science journalist, recently highlighted the role of sugar in obesity in these pages.⁹ In 1998 he wrote a report in *Science* about the politicised debate on salt, the warring camps, and personal insults. 10 He thinks that salt has been unfairly maligned but has, to protect his integrity,

Subscribe: http://www.bmj.com/subscribe

refused to associate himself with the Salt Institute. On the other hand Michael Alderman, now editor of the American Journal of Hypertension, has disclosed that he was previously a paid consultant to the Salt Institute. From recent studies he concluded that the relation between salt intake and cardiovascular mortality was a J shaped curve, with a salt intake of between about 5 g and 15 g a day conferring the lowest risk. 11 He further suggested that low salt intake could activate the sympathetic nervous system and increase insulin resistance, so increasing cardiovascular risk.

In 2011 Alderman's journal published a Cochrane review of trials of salt reduction interventions, which could not confirm an association with morbidity or mortality. 12 Another Cochrane review of salt intake, blood pressure, and the renin-aldosterone-angiotensin system in the same journal by Niels Graudal and colleagues concluded that low salt diets conferred no net benefit. 13 This analysis was flawed, say He and Macgregor, because it included short term trials. Graudal, in turn, criticised He and Macgregor's own meta-analysis for concluding that sodium reduction was associated with lower mortality when no direct evidence of such a link existed.¹⁴

This year the US Institute of Medicine convened a panel of experts, bravely including both He and Graudal, to investigate the evidence on behalf of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The panel lamented the quality of recent studies but decided that, though high salt intake was linked to cardiovascular disease, there was no evidence of benefit from reducing salt intake to below 6 g a day and in fact some evidence of harm. It also thought that more randomised controlled trials were needed.

One such double blind, randomised controlled trial of salt supplementation was proposed in Kenyan villagers but was refused ethical permission. Kenya is currently the only one of 187 countries with an average salt intake meeting the American Heart Association guideline: less than 3.8 g a day. Towards the end of the 19th century, though, most countries had much lower salt intake, and efficient retention of sodium by the kidneys protected the Waliangulu tribe and others from hyponatraemia. Meanwhile across the sea in India a crippling British salt tax of

over 1000% and a ban on local salt production played a part in

millions of deaths from famine, said Florence Nightingale, because of lack of food preservation or salt deficiency from heat exhaustion and diarrhoea. Years later Mohandas Gandhi famously violated the salt tax by picking up a lump of salt off a beach in Gujarat in the early dawn and called salt "the king among condiments." Paradoxically, Gandhi himself had renounced table salt a few years earlier and advised its use only "when necessary as an adjunct." Now, despite hundreds of scientific studies, his ambivalent views are perfectly understandable.

Competing interests: None declared.

Provenance and peer review: Commissioned, externally peer reviewed. bmj.com Research: Effect of longer term modest salt reduction on blood pressure (BMJ 2013;346:f1325, doi:10.1136/bmj.f1325)

- Hamlyn JM, Blaustein MP. Salt sensitivity, endogenous ouabain and hypertension. Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens 2013;22:51-8.
- Intersalt Cooperative Research Group. Intersalt: an international study of electrolyte excretion and blood pressure. Results for 24 hour urinary sodium and potassium excretion. BMJ 1988;297:319.
- Sacks FM, Svetkey LP, Vollmer WM, Appel LJ, Bray GA, Harsha D, et al. Effects on blood pressure of reduced dietary sodium and the dietary approaches to stop hypertension (DASH) diet. N Engl J Med 2001;344:3-10.
- Cook NR, Cutler JA, Obarzanek E, Buring JE, Rexrode KM, Kumanyika SK, et al. Long term effects of dietary sodium reduction on cardiovascular disease outcomes: observational follow-up of the trials of hypertension prevention (TOHP). BMJ 2007;334:885-8.
- He FJ, Li J, MacGregor GA. Effect of longer term modest salt reduction on blood pressure: Cochrane systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised trials. BMJ 2013;346:f1325.
- Moss M. The hard sell on salt. New York Times 29 May 2010. www.nytimes.com/2010/ 05/30/health/30salt.html.
- Smith WC, Crombie IK, Tavendale RT, Gulland SK, Tunstall-Pedoe HD, Urinary electrolyte excretion, alcohol consumption, and blood pressure in the Scottish heart health study. BMJ 1988;297:329.
- Swales JD. Salt saga continued. BMJ 1988;297:307-8.
- Taubes G. The science of obesity: what do we really know about what makes us fat? An essay by Gary Taubes. BMJ 2013;346:f1050.
- Taubes G. The (political) science of salt. Science 1998;281:898-901, 903-7
- Alderman MH. Reducing dietary sodium: the case for caution. *JAMA* 2010;303:448-9. Taylor RS, Ashton KE, Moxham T, Hooper L, Ebrahim S. Reduced dietary salt for the
- prevention of cardiovascular disease: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials (Cochrane review). Am J Hypertens 2011;24:843-53.
- Graudal NA, Hubeck-Graudal T, Jürgens G, Effects of low-sodium diet vs high-sodium diet on blood pressure, renin, aldosterone, catecholamines, cholesterol, and triglyceride (Cochrane Review). Am J Hypertens 2012;25:1-15.
- Graudal N. The (political) science of salt revisited. BMJ 2013;346:f2741.

Cite this as: *BMJ* 2013;347:f7192

© BMJ Publishing Group Ltd 2013