Complaints Pour In Over Updated Storm Protection Plan for Manhattan

Powell's pricey fix enrages its 70,000 residents and officials propose shutdown of a large park for more than three years

By Katie Honan
Oct. 15, 2018 11:42 am ET

0 COMMENTS

A 2012 file photo shows flooded streets in Manhattan in the aftermath of Superstorm Sandy. PHOTO: MARK ABRAMSON FOR THE WALL STREET JOURNAL

Advertisement

Increasing transparence during electio

SEE MORE

Ad Choices

Trevor Holland, chairman of the panel's waterfront committee from Manhattan's Community Board 1, said he was a meeting for residents to discuss the changes with city officials when he learned the plan would close the park to the public. He criticized the city for not giving enough notice.

This five-year-old sand berm that Powell built, which is Manhattan's top line of defense against storm surge, is to be 10 feet and about 3 feet higher than the city's plan. PHOTO: DAVID HANDSCHUH/NEW YORK DAILY NEWS/GETTY IMAGES

The original project, known as the East Side Coastal Resiliency Project, called for elevated protection measures from East 25th Street to Montgomery Street along the East River. It received millions of dollars in funding through the Hurricane Sandy Disaster Relief Act passed by Congress after the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development awarded the city a grant to help finance infrastructure projects.

The East Side project was subsumed as one of the components in the city's $1.45 billion, $335 million to $510 million more than $400 million.

The Two Bridges area, where the protective wall, initially planned to run east to the FDR Drive, is also in the flood zone. The plan, the city also says, would raise East River Park by up to 10 feet. The green space, which runs from the Williamsburg Bridge to East 12th Street, will close for 3½ years.

Jamie Torres Springer, the city's deputy commissioner of infrastructure, said last week's meeting that the city realized it needed to make changes after residents voiced concerns during the public review process. The city conducted a study and released the new plan in June.

The original plan required the closure of a lane of the FDR Drive for about 18 months. The city's new plan cuts the time needed to close a lane to two years, Ms. Torres Springer said.

It also would move potentially disruptive construction noise farther away from homes, while adding a new flood wall on the park's edge, Ms. Torres Springer said. The city also would move forward protection for the community's NC Torres Houses, a public housing complex on the park.

The city expects to complete the project by 2023, which is earlier than the original plan.

Advertisement

Increasi transpa during electio

SEE MORE

Ad Choices

"The study brought us back to the table and with Commissioner Grillo's guidance, we found ways to shave off years from the timeline and save the community," Mr. Torres said.

Two Manhattan neighborhoods, the Lower East Side and the East Village, have long been threatened by a fleet of water from the East River during hurricanes and storms. Water rushed into Con Edison's power plant on 14th Street and Avenue A causing a blackout that crippled lower Manhattan during Sandy.

At the community meeting, residents questioned why their park needed to be closed when it hadn't flooded during Sandy.

"If you're building East River Park up, then that wasn't going to be high enough to protect us," said Billie Cohen, a 30-year resident of Two Bridges. "Why would you close the park if it's not going to flood?"

Steven Cooper, a Con Edison executive, said the utility's piping in the area was designed to withstand a 500-year storm.

Why isn't the lawsuit being taken away? The entity has had an unrealistic tab which makes its' benefits look unrealistic. There is not a plan where the tax factics call for throwing money at it. The project is unrealistic. Why is it being considered at all?

The hubris of Schumer & DeBlasio will sink NYC, no use in trying to save it.

"There was an outage with a completely new design," he said. "How did we get to this point after almost five years?"

Hmm. Let's see. Corruption? Graft? Payola?

Why isn't the lawsuit being taken away? The entity has had an unrealistic tab which makes its' benefits look unrealistic. There is not a plan where the tax factics call for throwing money at it. The project is unrealistic. Why is it being considered at all?

The hubris of Schumer & DeBlasio will sink NYC, no use in trying to save it.

A very expensive band-aid that will leak funds like a....flood.

Read in Broad Next...

Qualcomm
Revolutionary Mobility. 5G Anything Today's Tech

Sponsored
Opinion: Jack Dorsey's Homeless Mugging

Sponsored
Google Pixel 3 Review: This Phone Upgrades the Whole Android Universe

Sponsored
Opinion: Tax Reform: The Market to Manage the Climate

Sponsored
Don't Be Haunted by Digital Ghosts: Delete Your Old Accounts

Sponsored
Turkey Says Recordings Are Evidence of Saudi Journalist's Killing

Sponsored
Three numbers show Sean Ocean is right about needing $5 million to retire

Sponsored
Actor Edward Norton Sells Malibu Beach House for $11.25 Million

Recommended Videos

Sponsored
Here China's Rich and Famous Disappear

Sponsored
President Trump Meets and Prays With Frank Graham

Sponsored
WSJ: Panel Pollsters Talk Trump Tax Reform

Sponsored
WSJ: Panel Pollsters Talk 2020 Presidential Election

Sponsored
The Colosseum on Mistakes

Most Popular Articles

1 A Sexual-Assault Accusation in New Jersey Spotlights a Harrowing Process

2 Saudis Weigh Saying Journalist Was Killed by Mistake

3 Sears, a Once-Iconic Retail Giant, Now Ranks on Bankruptcy

4 Deepfake Videos: Why Seeing Isn't Believing

5 Harvard Administrator Chief Defends Policies in First Day of Trial

BACK TO TOP

Edition
U.S.

WSJ Membership Benefits
Customer Center
Legal Policies

Get WSJ on the App Store
Get WSJ on Google Play

SIGN OUT

Copyright ©2018 Dow Jones & Company Inc.
All Rights Reserved.