

Your Winning Edge for Litigation

What Is Legal Analytics?

Lex Machina, the creator of **Legal Analytics**, has the leading platform for strategic litigation insights about **courts, judges, lawyers, law firms, and parties**. We help litigators land more clients and win more cases.

Our Legal Analytics provide practice-specific information on **resolutions, timing, findings, damages, and remedies**, for cases like yours. Over three quarters of Am Law 100 firms, as well as many of the largest corporations in the world use Lex Machina.


Unique Benefits

- Lex Machina has the most **accurate and valuable** data, compiled, cleaned, and enhanced by a combination of machine learning and in-house legal experts
- We have unique **practice-specific** filters and tags that produce valuable strategic insights
- The highly customizable **Case List Analyzer** enables you to find and analyze cases that are just like yours
- Our **Attorney Data Engine** supplements and corrects counsel data to provide an accurate record of your and your opponents' appearances
- Lex Machina has the only **Legal Analytics Apps** for comparing judges, parties, law firms, creating winning motions, exploring damages, or expert witnesses
- It's also really **easy to use** and gets results with just a few clicks that otherwise could take days or weeks to compile

What Can I Ask?

- How much experience does my opposing counsel have with business liability insurance cases?
- What is the grant rate for preliminary injunctions in contracts cases in the Northern District of Illinois?
- How much has Judge Coogler awarded in employment damages and what types of damages did he award?
- How often is my client being represented by another law firm in patent, trademark, or copyright cases?
- How often do cases with ERISA claims resolve on summary judgment and what were the facts of those cases?
- What is the median time to class certification in consumer protection cases in the Eastern District of New York?

District Judge Matthew F. Kennelly of the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois




Law Firms Report Greenberg Traurig (Generated on 2019-06-20)

Showing statistics for 3,043 cases from the Search for terminated Product Liability cases with Greenberg Traurig; pending between 2009-01-01 and 2019-06-20.

Law Firm	Total Cases	Cases Opposed to the Selected Law Firm
The Wilner Firm	1,455	1,454
Farah & Farah	1,447	1,446
Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein	743	742
Motley Rice	639	639
Weitz & Luxenberg	204	204
Edward O Moody	156	156

Time to Termination for Securities Cases



Valuable Uses

- Create winning client pitches
- Assess parties and opposing counsel
- Early case and risk assessment
- Develop winning litigation strategy
- Set accurate litigation budgets
- Craft profitable AFA's
- Hire the best talent for your practice

Practice Areas

Federal:

- Antitrust
- Bankruptcy
- Consumer Protection
- Contracts
- Copyright
- Employment
- ERISA
- Environmental
- Insurance
- Patent
- Product Liability
- Securities
- Tax
- Torts
- Trademark
- Trade Secret

State (Civil):

- Delaware Court of Chancery
- Los Angeles Superior Court
- Houston Area State Courts
- More to come...

Use Cases

- For a fixed fee arrangement, a large law firm overbid badly and didn't land the case. When they got Lex Machina, they learned that the opposing counsel was known to settle quickly, and the judge tended to drive parties to settlement. They could have bid much lower and won the business.
- The General Counsel of a large Pharma company asked an attorney if he could provide her a summary of all pending ANDA litigation for an acquisition target within two weeks. Using Lex Machina, the lawyer gave it to her instantly on the phone and proceeded to represent her during the acquisition of the company.