Pupil premium strategy statement (secondary)

Summary information

School Rockwood Academy

Academic Year – 2018/19 Total PP budget - £350,625 provisional Date of most recent PP Review – March 2018

Total number of pupils - 698 Number of pupils eligible for PP - 362 Date for next internal review of this strategy – 10.12.2018

2. Current progress and attainment

	National (TBC)	Rockwood (overall)	Rockwood PP
Progress 8		-0.39	-0.39
Attainment 8		40.37	40.80
Basics 9-4		46%	49%
Basics 9-5		24%	31%
P8 English		0.40	0.30
P8 Maths		-1.14	-0.96
P8 English Baccalaureate		-0.33	-0.31
P8 open slot		-0.48	-0.54

3. Barriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP)

In-school barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor literacy skills)

Literacy levels for PP students are lower on entry than for other students' reading ages are on average 1 year below their chronological ages, compared to non PP students' reading ages which are 7½ months below), preventing them from accessing the curriculum and making expected progress in line with non-pupil premium students nationally in all subjects.

Low prior attainment of PP students on entry to the Academy is significantly below national, the average KS2 points core is 102 compared to national 104.5, a difference of -2.5

PP students in particular lack social skills and maturity which impacts on how effectively they self-regulate their behaviour for learning, which has a detrimental impact on their progress and that of their peers. High ability students in particular cause low-level disruption in lessons or are passive learners, and consequently they do not make the accelerated progress expected.

External barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates)

D. PP students' attendance is consistently below 94.5%, which equates to almost a term's worth of curriculum time being lost during their time at the Academy.

- **E.** Persistent absence rates for PP students are higher than other students. 67% of students with attendance rates below 90% are PP students.
- Parents of PP students can be less willing to engage with the Academy, and can have lower expectations and aspirations for their children. Parents' attitudes towards education have been proven to have the highest influence over a child's attitude to learning and achievement.

4. Desired outcomes (desired outcomes and how they will be measured)	Success criteria
Increased literacy levels for year 7 and 8 PP students.	PP students in year 7 and 8 will make more progress in literacy, so 100% of them will reduce the gap between their reading age and chronological age to 6 months or less from their starting points at the beginning of the academic year 2017-2018.
Improved progress for PP students who enter the Academy with KS2 results below national expectations across all year groups.	By the end of the academic year 7, PP students have made progress broadly in line with their peers.
Improved social skills and self-regulatory behaviour for PP students.	Number of behavioural incidents recorded for PP students will reduce to be in line with all students or lower. The overall P8 figure for high ability PP students at the end of year 11 will be broadly in line with non pupil premium students nationally. Predictions for high ability PP students in years 9 and 10 will be broadly in line with national for P8. Progress residuals for high ability PP students in years 7 and 8 will be broadly in line with the rest of the cohort.
Increased attendance and reduced persistent absence for PP students.	Attendance of PP students will increase to at least 95% in line with national expectation. The number of PP students classed as persistent absentees will decrease to be broadly in line with non pupil premium students nationally.
Increased engagement of parents of PP students with the Academy, and higher aspirations of PP students.	The number of parents of PP attending parents evenings, support sessions and Academy performances/events will be at least in line with non pupil premium students nationally PP students will select a suitably challenging and aspirational post-16 destination in line with the non pupil premium peers.

6. Planned expenditure

demic year 2018-2019

The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the Pupil Premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies.

1. Quality of teaching for all

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
A. Increased year 7 and 8 literacy levels.B. Improved progress for Pupil Premium students.C. Improved self-regulatory behaviour.	Additional literacy provision through Core X lessons (2x a week) in small focus groups delivered by the HLTAs.	The EEF Toolkit states that reducing class size appears to result in around three months' additional progress for pupils, on average. Reducing the number of pupils with below average literacy skills in a class will improve the quality of teaching and learning, as students receive more high quality feedback or one to one attention.	Through careful data analysis at every Assessment Point (5x per year). Through weekly book scrutinies and targeted Pupil Premium book scrutinies and Pupil Premium student voice the senior team will monitor the quality of feedback in exercise books. The planning of Pupil Premium teaching	M. Pitt DOL KS3	End of Spring 2
			strategies will be monitored through lesson observations, focused Learning Walks and Teacher Folders standards checks.		

B. Improved progress for Pupil Premium students.	•	The EEF Toolkit states that there are a number nof meta-analyses which indicate that, on average, mastery learning approaches are effective, leading to an additional five months' y progress.	A quality assurance schedule with specific focus on PP students is in place to monitor the quality of teaching, learning and assessment. Data is reviewed at each Assessment Point. Standards checks will be conducted to ensure consistency in the approach of all staff.	M. Pitt Lead Practitioners	End of Spring 2
A. Increased year 7 and 8 literacy levels. B. Improved progress for Pupil Premium students.	Accelerated Reader Programmes to improve reading ages and reading comprehension	Accelerated Reader is proven to improve reading ages by 3 months over a period of 3 month on the programme.	Both Accelerated Reader scores will be monitored closely on a session by session basis to determine a fluid transition between both programmes.	M. Pitt Librarian HLTAs	End of Summer 1
B. Improved progress for Pupil Premium students.	Saturday revision sessions for Year 11 students in English and Maths. Holiday revision sessions for Year 11 students.	Providing students with additional access to revision time provides them with an opportunity to revise and receive support. Evidence from the EEF toolkit that extended school time impacts on student progress.	The Assistant Headteacher in charge of Data devises a weekly programme and monitors student progress at each Assessment point (1-6).	N. Oxford	End of each half term

1 1 0	Continue to be part of the PIXL Schools network	Highly qualified teachers who are using research based teaching strategies to promote effective learning are proven to have significant impact on outcomes for students. The PIXL	, -	N. Oxford
		network allows further sharing of best practice and moderation of judgements.	Teaching and Learning will monitor the quality of Teaching, Learning and Assessment through lesson observations, learning walks, book scrutinies.	A. Maes-Prior

Total budgeted cost £70,000 estimated

2. Targeted support

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
A. Increased year 7 and 8 literacy levels.	Lexia phonics led reading software will be used to improve the reading skills of	Lexia has been rigorously researched, independently evaluated, and is one of the most respected reading programs in the world,	,		End of Spring 2
B. Improved progress for	students in year 7 and 8.	Lexia has been found to accelerate the	data analysis at each Assessment Point (1	-	
Pupil Premium students.	Improved reading skills will lead to increased confidence	development of critical foundational literacy skills and has been shown to be effective in	6).		
C. Improved self-regulatory behaviour.	in accessing the curriculum.	remediating struggling readers in secondary school.			

B. Improved progress for Pupil Premium students. C. Improved self-regulatory behaviour.	Employment of Lead Practitioner in Core subjects to work with intervention groups. Employment of an additional HLTA to support Core X teaching groups. Adding capacity in the Maths department through employment of an additional teacher of Maths.	In order to accelerate the progress of students with low prior attainment, the lead practitioners will work with small intervention groups to increase skills sets and to build confidence. Small group intervention with highly qualified staff have been found to be effective by the EEF.	The Directors for Learning and Outcomes KS3 and KS4 will closely monitor the impact through data analysis at each Assessment Point (1-6). The Assistant Headteacher with responsibility for data will closely monitor the progress of Year 11 students.	N. Oxford DoL KS3 DoL KS4	End of Summer 1
B. Improved progress for Pupil Premium studentsC. Improved self-regulatory behaviour.D. Increased attendance and reduced persistent absence for PP students.	Improved rewards system to motivate students. Rewards based on attendance, academic performance and behaviour.	Research suggests that when students have a sense of control and choice and are challenged just above their level of competence, they have intrinsic motivation, persistence and a belief that they can be successful.	The Assistant Headteacher in charge of Behaviour and Attendance will monitor behaviour and rewards data on a weekly basis.	P. De Jesus	End of Summer 1

Total budgeted cost £160,000 estimated

3. Other approaches

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
A. Increased year 7 literacy levels.	The Pastoral Managers, the Head of Wellbeing and the attendance officer will be	Improved attendance of PP students will maximise the amount of time students spend in the academy, improving their progress.	The Assistant Headteacher responsible for attendance will receive weekly attendance figures and patterns from the		End of Spring 2
B. Improved progress for	trained by the Assistant		attendance officer, which will be		
Pupil Premium	Headteacher in charge of Behaviour and Attendance to		discussed in Pastoral Team meetings		
D. Increased attendance and	recognise and effectively deal		He will also receive weekly updates from		
reduced PA.	with attendance patterns to		the Pastoral Team about actions taken to		
	ensure early identification and	d	positively impact on the attendance of		

	intervention with PP students with attendance issues. The Pastoral Team will be strengthened by the employment of 2 further Pastoral Managers and a Head		Pupil Premium students. The threshold for PA students will be raised to 94% and the Academy PA figures will be measured against that threshold to support early identification.	
	of Wellbeing.	•	The weekly attendance figures will show an improvement.	
B. Improved progress for Pupil Premium students.	The Academy will strengthen parental engagement through a bespoke programme	Research has shown that parental attitudes to education have the greatest influence over the child's attitude towards their learning, ie	The Pastoral team will monitor the attendance of parents to learning review and parents' evenings. Home visits will be	End of Summer 1
D. Increased attendance and reduced PA.	addressing factors impacting barriers to achievement.	parents have the most influence, then the child then the teachers. Therefore, engaging parents in the education of their child will have the	•	
E. Increased engagement of parents.		desired effect.	The Deputy Headteacher will oversee the parent engagement programme covering a range of topics.	

Total budgeted cost £120,625 estimated

4. Review of expenditure

Previous Academic Year

1. Quality of teaching for all ii. Targeted support iii. Other approaches

Desired outcome	Chosen action /	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria?	Lessons learned	Cost
	approach	Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if	(and whether you will continue with this	5
		appropriate.	approach)	

A. Increased literacy year 7 and 8 P literacy levels B. Improved progress for PP students	P Deploying an Assistant Headteacher for Teaching and Learning	Teaching and Learning has improved significantly with positive impact on outcomes, in particular for the Year 11 cohort (see performance data section 2)	Established procedures need to be clearly communicated to new staff to ensure consistency. Strategy will be carried forward.	£50,000
C. Improved social skills and self- regulatory behaviour D. Increased attendance and reduced PA	Saturday School for Year 11 students in Maths and English	Providing students with additional access to structured revision time had a significant impact on the performance of the Year 11 cohort (see performance data section 2). The academy now ranks amongst the top 3% of schools (Progress 8).	Attendance needs to be closely monitored to ensure that targeted students attend. Communication with parents and students is key. Strategy will be carried forward.	£20,000
E. Increased engagement of parent	s Holiday Revision sessions for Year 11 students	As above		£10,000
	real 11 students	AS above		110,000
	Alternative Provision/Student Development Centre	Students who need a smaller learning environment that can cater for their specific needs were enabled to attend the alternative provider	With the Student Development Centre on site being established it will be possible to deliver small group teaching with a tailored curriculum on site which will enable the	g £36,000
	Accelerated Reader		academy to monitor progress even more closely.	
	Programme for Year 7 and 8		Basic literacy needs (phonics) in Year 7 and 8 need to be	
	Revision Guides/Materials	The programme improved students' reading ages allowing them to access the curriculum more fully which contributes to outcomes when sitting their GCSE	addressed further. The Lexia literacy programme will be considered.	£2,300
	Peripatetic Music Lessons	examinations in future years.	Folders to organise students' own revision material need to be purchased to further support this strategy.	
		Students received free revision guides which complimented their revision efforts and impacted on their overall exam successes (see section 2). Student feedback stated that they were favoured annotating the revision guides and make them their own.	t This strategy will be carried forward.	£5,312
		The numbers of students having taken up music lessons has increased enabling them to access a broader co-curricular programme. Learning an instrument has given the students the opportunity to take part in performances thus increasing their confidence and ability to collaborate. It has also provided them with increased levels of self-discipline. This will contribute to outcomes when sitting their GCSE examinations in future years.	e	£27,000

Maths x 2 staff	The Maths, English and Science Departments were overstaffed by 2 teachers per department to allow for smaller group sizes. This strategy has significantly improved	Deploying staff strategically is an additional factor to take £85,000 into consideration. The strategy will be carried forward,
English x 2 staff		however, the employment of Lead Practitioners will be £85,000 considered.
Science x 2 staff	,	£85,000
		On the basis of the narrowing of the gap between pupil
Attendance officer (part funding)	Student attendance has remained stable over the academic year (94.5%), however, the gap between pupil premium and non-pupil premium students has narrowed significantly (94.31%/94.44%).	premium and non-pupil premium students efforts have to be £12,000 undertaken to further increase attendance levels. The strategy will be carried forward.

Total budgeted cost: £ 417,012

Additional detail

In this section you can annex or refer to **additional** information which you have used to inform the statement above.