

Drinker Biddle



Michael P. Daly

Partner | Philadelphia

michael.daly@dbr.com

Phone: (215) 988-2604

Fax: (215) 988-2757

About

Michael P. Daly defends class actions and other complex litigation matters, handles appeals in state and federal courts across the country, and counsels clients on maximizing the defensibility of their marketing and enforceability of their contracts. A recognized authority on class action and consumer protection litigation, he often speaks, comments, and writes on recent decisions and developments in the class action arena. He is also a founder of the firm's [TCPA Team](#); the senior editor of the [TCPA Blog](#), which provides important information and insight about the Telephone Consumer Protection Act; and a senior member of the firm's [Class Actions Team](#) and interdisciplinary [Retail Industry Team](#).

Committed to civil rights and civic engagement, Michael has spearheaded public interest matters meant to prevent racial discrimination, protect the rights of the disabled and incarcerated, prohibit the use of unverifiable voting systems, and preclude the misuse of our laws and abuse of our civil justice system. One of his most recent public interest matters resulted in a [landmark settlement](#) that put an end to decades of discrimination by administrative agencies that had refused to make important information about public benefits programs available in alternative formats that were accessible to the blind and visually impaired. As a result of the settlement, [thousands of class members](#) have already requested and received documents in accessible alternative formats.

Areas of Focus

Industries

- Communications
- Energy
- Pharma and Life Sciences
- Retail
- Technology

Services

- Appellate
- Class Actions
- Commercial Litigation
- Energy Customers
- Litigation
- Sustainable Infrastructure
- Telephone Consumer Protection Act

Credentials

Bar Admissions

- New Jersey
- Pennsylvania

Court Admissions

- U.S. Court of Appeals, Third Circuit
- U.S. Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit
- U.S. Court of Appeals, Eleventh Circuit
- U.S. District Court, District of New Jersey
- U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Pennsylvania
- U.S. District Court, Middle District of Pennsylvania

Education

- Villanova University School of Law, J.D., 2000, *magna cum laude*, Order of the Coif, *Law Review*

- Bucknell University, B.A., 1997, *cum laude*, with honors

Organizations

- American Bar Association
- Pennsylvania Bar Association
- J. Willard O'Brien American Inn of Court

Experience

Class Action Litigation

Michael regularly defends putative class actions involving issues such as advertising, labeling, privacy, telemarketing, billing, credit reporting, and debt collecting. He has extensive experience defending claims under the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA), Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA), Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (FDCPA), Pennsylvania Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Law (UTPCPL), New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act (CFA), New Jersey Truth-In-Consumer Contract, Warranty and Notice Act (TCCWNA), California Unfair Competition Law (UCL), California False Advertising Law (FAL), California Consumer Legal Remedies Act (CLRA), and other state and federal consumer protection statutes. He routinely counsels clients on issues such as removing actions, compelling arbitration, enforcing class action waivers, coordinating multidistrict litigation, enjoining parallel proceedings, striking class allegations, opposing certification, negotiating settlements, designing notice and claims programs, and defending settlements from objections and attacks. Representative class action matters include:

- *Santangelo*, No. 15-0293 (N.D. Ill. Dec. 6, 2017) (striking class action allegations against cable services provider)
- *Noonan*, No. 16-0458 (D.N.J. Oct. 24, 2017) (compelling arbitration of claims against cable services provider)
- *Wingard*, No. 16-1539 (N.D. Ga. June 19, 2017) (dismissing TCPA claims against cable services provider without prejudice due to failure to allege facts regarding capacities of alleged autodialer)
- *Silfee*, No. 16-3725 (3d Cir. June 13, 2017) (reversing failure to enforce arbitration agreement)
- *Adkins*, No. 16-5969 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 25, 2017) (dismissing without prejudice consumer protection claims against cable services provider)
- *Landers*, No. 16-1010 (W.D. Mo. Jan. 4, 2017) (compelling arbitration of claims against cable services provider)
- *Nop*, No. 15-1691 (D.N.J. Sep. 14, 2016) (denying motion to remand action against affiliate of water and wastewater services provider removed pursuant to CAFA)

- *Green*, No. L-4158-10 (Camden Cty., N.J. Aug. 5, 2016) (denying certification of New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act claims against apartment management company)
- *Noonan*, No. 16-0458 (D.N.J. Apr. 19, 2016) (denying motion to remand action against cable services provider removed pursuant to CAFA)
- *Williams*, No. 15-1469 (E.D. Mo. Apr. 12, 2016) (staying claims under the Telephone Consumer Protection Act pending decisions in appeal from FCC decision)
- *Johnson*, No. 14-0453 (E.D. Mo. Apr. 11, 2016) (approving settlement of claims against telecommunications provider)
- *Vasquez*, No. 13-5449 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 16, 2016) (approving settlement of claims against janitorial services provider)
- *Rafferty*, No. 13-1410, slip op. (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 11, 2015) (approving fee award in public interest matter challenging the failure to provide accessible information to visually-impaired residents of New York City)
- *Rafferty*, No. 13-1410, slip op. (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 1, 2015) (approving class action settlement in public interest matter challenging the failure to provide accessible information to blind and visually-impaired residents of New York City)
- *Santangelo*, No. 15-0293 (N.D. Ill. May 28, 2015) (dismissing without prejudice FCRA claim and related state law claims against cable services provider)
- *Jones*, No. 14-7375, slip op. (E.D. Pa. April 16, 2015) (issuing primary jurisdiction stay of claims against cable services provider)
- *Grear*, No. 14-5333 (N.D. Cal. March 3, 2015) (compelling arbitration of claims against cable services provider)
- *Smerling*, No. 14-3452 (D.N.J. Jan. 13, 2015) (denying motion to remand action against cable services provider removed pursuant to CAFA)
- *Smerling*, No. 14-3452 (D.N.J. Jan. 13, 2015) (compelling arbitration of claims against cable services provider)
- *Porter*, No. 14-90015-QQ, slip op. (11th Cir. Sep. 19, 2014) (granting petition for permission to appeal order remanding putative class action to state court)
- *Porter*, No. 13-23745 (S.D. Fla. Apr. 8, 2014) (staying discovery pending decision on motion to compel arbitration of claims against prepaid wireless carrier)
- *DiGiulio*, No. 13-6349 (E.D. Pa. Apr. 8, 2014) (voluntary dismissal of consumer fraud claims against automobile manufacturer)
- *American Chiropractic Ass'n*, No. 12-7243 (E.D. Pa. March 27, 2014) (dismissing ERISA claims against health and wellness company due to named plaintiffs' lack of standing and failure to exhaust administrative remedies)
- *Diacakis*, No. 13-80122, slip op. (9th Cir. Sept. 11, 2013) (denying Rule 23(f) petition for permission to appeal order denying certification in action against cable services provider)
- *Rafferty*, No. 13-1410, slip op. (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 8, 2013) (certifying class in public interest matter)

challenging the failure to provide accessible information to visually-impaired residents of New York City)

- *Porter*, No. 3D12-3077, slip op. (Fla. 3d DCA May 15, 2013) (reversing failure to enforce arbitration agreement in action against prepaid wireless carrier)
- *Diacakis*, No. 11-3002, slip op. (N.D. Cal. May 3, 2013) (denying motion for class certification in action against cable services provider)
- *Bayer*, No. 12-8618, slip op. (N.D. Ill. May 1, 2013) (granting motion to compel individual arbitration in action against cable services provider)
- *Ostrom*, No. 12-8226, slip op. (C.D. Cal. Nov. 26, 2012) (denying motion to remand action against wireless carrier removed pursuant to CAFA)
- *Crozier*, No. 12-0008, 12-0010, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 140320 (D.N.J. Sept. 28, 2012) (dismissing consumer fraud claims against pharmaceutical manufacturer)
- *Tucker*, 208 Cal. App. 4th 201 (Aug. 7, 2012) (Bruiniers, J.) (affirming denial of certification of damages claims against wireless carrier)
- *Oughton*, No. 11-1926, slip op. (W.D. Wash. June 21, 2012) (compelling arbitration of claims against cable services provider)
- *Pang*, No. L-3309-10, slip op. (N.J. Super. Ct. Jan. 27, 2012) (denying certification of consumer fraud claims against pharmaceutical manufacturer)
- *Diacakis*, No. 11-3002, 2012 WL 43649 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 9, 2012) (dismissing without prejudice claims against cable services provider)
- *Knapp*, 195 Cal. App. 4th 932 (Cal. Ct. App. 2011) (Fybel, J.) (affirming denial of certification of claims against wireless carrier)
- *Cuadras*, No. 09-7897, slip op. (C.D. Cal. Aug. 8, 2011) (compelling arbitration of claims against prepaid wireless carrier)
- *Afroilan*, No. 469, August Term 2002, slip op. (Phila. C.C.P. Nov. 2, 2010) (approving settlement of claims against wireless carrier)
- *Hall*, No. 07-5325, 2010 WL 4053547 (D.N.J. Oct. 13, 2010) (approving settlement of claims against wireless carrier)
- *Tucker*, No. 03CC14707, slip op. (Cal. Super. Ct. May 24, 2010) (denying certification of claims against wireless carrier)
- *Williams*, No. 09-22890, 2010 WL 1645099 (S.D. Fla. Apr. 21, 2010) (finding after bench trial that plaintiff had entered into arbitration agreement with prepaid wireless carrier)
- *In Re Peer-To-Peer Transmission Contract Litig.*, MDL No. 1992, slip op. (E.D. Pa. June 29, 2009) (approving settlement of claims against cable services provider)
- *In Re Peer-To-Peer Transmission Contract Litig.*, MDL No. 1992, 558 F. Supp. 2d 1381 (J.P.M.L. 2008) (centralizing multidistrict claims against cable services provider)
- *Hart*, No. 07-6350, slip op. (N.D. Cal. June 25, 2008) (issuing primary jurisdiction stay of claims against cable services provider)

- *Sidner*, No. 2008 CA 001180 B, slip op. (D.C. Super. Ct. Sep. 22, 2008) (compelling arbitration of claims against cable services provider)
- *Farina*, No. 06-0724, 2008 WL 436921 (E.D. Pa. Feb. 13, 2008) (denying motion to remand action against wireless carrier removed pursuant to CAFA)
- *Schwartz*, 256 Fed. Appx. 515 (3d Cir. 2007) (Fisher, J.) (reversing decision that plaintiff had not entered into arbitration agreement with cable services provider)
- *Waldman*, No. 07-80081, 2007 WL 1970858 (S.D. Fla. July 3, 2007) (denying motion to remand action against wireless carrier removed pursuant to CAFA)
- *Davidson*, No. 06-0133, 2007 WL 896349 (E.D. Ark. Mar. 23, 2007) (compelling arbitration of claims against wireless carrier)
- *Milligan*, No. 06-0809, 2007 WL 4885492 (N.D. Ala. Jan. 22, 2007) (compelling arbitration of claims against cable services provider)
- *Davidson*, No. 06-0133, 2006 WL 2927467 (E.D. Ark., Oct 12, 2006) (denying motion to remand action against wireless carrier removed pursuant to CAFA)
- *Schwartz*, No. 05-2340, 2006 WL 487915 (E.D. Pa. Feb. 28, 2006) (denying motion to remand action against cable services provider removed pursuant to CAFA)
- *Franczyk*, No. 03-CH-14203, slip op. (Ill. Chanc. Ct. June 13, 2005) (compelling arbitration of claims against wireless carrier)
- *In Re Wireless Tele. Federal Cost Recovery Fees Litig.*, No. 4:03-MDL-1559-FJG, 2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 26070 (W.D. Mo. Dec. 22, 2003) (issuing All Writs Act injunction of claims against wireless carriers)
- *In Re Wireless Tele. Federal Cost Recovery Fees Litig.*, 293 F. Supp. 2d 1378 (J.P.M.L. 2003) (centralizing multidistrict claims against wireless carriers)

Appellate Litigation

Michael handles appellate matters in state and federal proceedings across the country, including representing various trade associations as *amicus curiae* in important appellate and administrative proceedings that affect their members' interests. His work has resulted in rulings denying class certification, enforcing arbitration agreements, denying petitions to amend administrative rules to permit class actions, entering judgment notwithstanding a design defect verdict, absolving a manufacturer of successor liability, enforcing an exclusion of liability in an asset purchase agreement, and ordering new trials on damages and statute of limitations defenses, among others. Representative appellate matters include:

- *Spade*, No. 078611 (N.J. Sup. Ct.) (June 19, 2017) (*amicus* briefing regarding the scope of the New Jersey Truth-in-Consumer Contract, Warranty and Notice Act)
- *Silfee*, No. 16-3725 (3d Cir. June 13, 2017) (reversing failure to enforce arbitration agreement)
- *Duke*, No.: A-000795-15-T3 (N.J. Super. Ct. App. Div. March 30, 2017) (*amicus* briefing

- regarding the scope of the New Jersey Truth-in-Consumer Contract, Warranty and Notice Act)
- *In Re. Petition for Rulemaking and Declaratory Ruling of Craig Moskowitz and Craig Cunningham*, CG Docket No. 05-338 (March 10, 2017) (*amicus* briefing regarding interpretation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act)
 - *Murray*, No. 14-1350 (D.C. Ct. App. Oct. 20, 2016) (abandoning *Frye* standard and adopting Rule 702 standard for admissibility of expert opinion testimony)
 - *Porter*, No. 14-90015-QQ, slip op. (11th Cir. Sep. 19, 2014) (granting petition for permission to appeal order remanding putative class action to state court)
 - *In Re. Petition of Solvable Frustrations, Inc.*, No. RM-11675 (Apr. 11, 2014) (denying petition requesting that FCC rules be amended to permit FCC to adjudicate class actions)
 - *Diacakis*, No. 13-80122, slip op. (9th Cir. Sept. 11, 2013) (denying Rule 23(f) petition for permission to appeal order denying certification in action against cable services provider)
 - *Porter*, No. 3D12-3077, slip op. (Fla. 3d DCA May 15, 2013) (reversing failure to enforce arbitration agreement in action against prepaid wireless carrier)
 - *Tucker*, 208 Cal. App. 4th 201 (Aug. 7, 2012) (Bruiniers, J.) (affirming denial of certification of damages claims against wireless carrier)
 - *Beard*, 2012 WL 1021323 (Pa. Sup. Ct. 2012) (Saylor, J.) (affirming entry of judgment notwithstanding the verdict in product liability action against medical device manufacturer)
 - *Knapp*, 195 Cal. App. 4th 932 (Cal. Ct. App. 2011) (Fybel, J.) (affirming denial of certification of claims against wireless carrier)
 - *Beard*, 988 A.2d 712 (Pa. Super. Ct. 2009) (Per curiam) (reversing failure to enter judgment notwithstanding the verdict in product liability action against medical device manufacturer)
 - *Schwartz.*, 256 Fed. Appx. 515 (3d Cir. 2007) (Fisher, J.) (reversing decision that plaintiff had not entered into arbitration agreement with cable services provider)
 - *Berg Chilling Systems*, 435 F.3d 455 (3d Cir. 2006) (Alito, J.) (affirming finding of no successor liability in international commercial dispute)
 - *Miller*, No. 05-3553, slip op. (6th Cir. Jan. 30, 2006) (Per curiam) (affirming dismissal of denial of medical benefits claim as moot)
 - *Urbach*, 915 A.2d 159 (Pa. Super. Ct. Oct. 31, 2006) (Per curiam) (reversing failure to submit manufacturer's statute of limitations defense to a jury)
 - *Digital Signal*, 156 Fed. Appx. 485 (3d Cir. 2005) (McKee, J.) (reversing failure to enforce arbitration agreement with wireless carrier)
 - *Berg Chilling Sys.*, 369 F.3d 745 (3d Cir. 2004) (Greenberg, J.) (reversing failure to enforce exclusion of liability in asset purchase agreement)
 - *Smalls*, 843 A.2d 410, 414 (Pa. Super. Ct. 2004) (Bowes, J.) (reversing failure to grant new trial due to excessive jury award against manufacturer)

Consumer Contracts and Marketing

In this era of “gotcha” class actions, plaintiffs have taken to targeting any arguable violation of any arcane statute or regulation—no matter how innocent or inconsequential—in the hopes of extorting settlements by threatening theoretical aggregate exposures in the millions if not billions of dollars. Recognizing and mitigating the risks of contracting with and marketing to consumers has never been more important. Michael routinely counsels clients on best practices for maximizing the defensibility of their marketing and the enforceability of their consumer contracts and dispute-resolution programs. His consumer contracting and marketing clients have included pharmaceutical companies, wireless service providers, a cable services provider, a water and wastewater utility, a propane supplier, a home shopping television network, a trucking and transportation company, a bottled water and beverage supplier, an airport restaurateur and concessionaire, a parking and storage company, and numerous retailers. Representative services include:

- Maximizing the enforceability of arbitration agreements and class action waivers;
- Minimizing risk under the Truth-in-Consumer Contract, Warranty and Notice Act;
- Drafting terms of use and privacy policies for websites and mobile applications;
- Drafting Do-Not-Call manuals and other telemarketing policies and procedures;
- Reviewing fee disclosures, bill presentment, and cost recovery mechanisms;
- Revising consumer contracts to maximize readability and enforceability;
- Revising online and other electronic contract formation processes;
- Reviewing advertisements for substantiation and litigation risk; and
- Complying with state automatic renewal laws.

Insights & Events

Event | May 07, 2018 | New York City, NY

Plaintiffs Placing Themselves in Harm’s Way

Publication | April 17, 2018

No Harm, No Foul: NJ Supreme Court Rejects No-Injury “Gotcha” Suits Under TCCWNA

Publication | March 30, 2018

A Call for Change: D.C. Circuit Dials Back FCC’s 2015 TCPA Ruling

Publication | March 20, 2018

Retail Innovation Front and Center at Shoptalk 2018

Publication | March 16, 2018

Worth the Wait! D.C. Circuit Vacates Key Portions of FCC's July 2015 TCPA Order

Publication | February 28, 2018

Businesses Should Re-examine Consumer Contracts After Pennsylvania Supreme Court Allows Unfair Trade Practices Claim By Out-of-State Plaintiff

Publication | January 18, 2018

The Supreme Court Grants Certiorari in Online Sales Tax Case

Publication | January 3, 2018

Federal Court Finds Amazon Not Liable for Third-Party Vendor's Product

Publication | December 21, 2017

Here We Come A-Revoking: Professional Plaintiffs Target Text Messaging

Event | October 10, 2017 | NAFCU Regulatory Compliance Seminar

Overview of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act

Publication | September 25, 2017

Ninth Circuit Deems Amazon's Conditions of Use Enforceable, Plaintiff's Deceptive Pricing Claims Arbitrable

Publication | September 20, 2017

Drinker Biddle Lawyers Contribute to PLI's 2017/2018 Telecommunications Law Answer Book
| *Telecommunications Law Answer Book*

News | August 30, 2017

Drinker Biddle Represents the Retail Industry Leaders Association in FCC Proceeding Regarding Reassigned Numbers and the TCPA

Publication | August 24, 2017

Second Circuit Issues Important Decision Regarding Online Contract Formation and Arbitration Agreements

Publication | August 8, 2017

Litigation Risks for Retailers: The New Wave of Class Actions in California

Publication | July 20, 2017

Courts Rein In TCPA “Revocation of Consent” Claims | *Law360*

News | June 28, 2017

Drinker Biddle Files Amicus Brief in New Jersey Supreme Court on Behalf of the Retail Litigation Center | Press Release

News | June 27, 2017

Michael Daly Quoted in Law360 on TCPA “Pick-Off” Ruling | *Law360*

Publication | June 23, 2017

Contracts 101: Second Circuit Holds That Black Letter Contract Law Precludes Revocation of Consent Claims Under the TCPA

News | June 8, 2017

Rafferty Update: Landmark Pro Bono Class Action Settlement Yields Significant Results | Drinker Biddle

Publication | May 16, 2017

Supreme Court Confirms Federal Arbitration Act’s Broad Preemption of State Law

Publication | April 20, 2017

New Jersey State Courts Continue to Scrutinize Arbitration Agreements

Publication | April 12, 2017

Courts Continue Crackdown on New Jersey's Truth-in-Consumer Contract, Warranty and Notice Act

Event | April 6, 2017 | Webcast

Statutory Damages in Class Action Litigation

Publication | March 31, 2017

Plaintiffs Continue to Target Retail Text Programs | *Law360*

Publication | February 7, 2017

New Federal Statute Limits Ability to Protect Business Reputations: The Consumer Review Fairness Act of 2016

Publication | January 31, 2017

Drafting and Deploying Arbitration Agreements in Consumer Contracts | *The Legal Intelligencer*

Publication | December 15, 2016

Despite Defense Victories, TCCWNA Class Actions Are Not Going Away | *New Jersey Law Journal*

News | December 13, 2016

Spokeo Poses High Hurdle for Background Check Plaintiffs | *Law360*

Publication | November 18, 2016

Background Checks and the FCRA: How Employers Can Mitigate One Risk Without Creating Another | *The Review of Securities & Commodities Regulation*

Publication | November 10, 2016

Careful, Your Website is Showing! Retailers Should Start Preparing for Website Accessibility Class Actions

Event | November 10, 2016

Aggregated Statutory Damages in Class Litigation: Leveraging Rule 23 and Due Process Arguments to Defeat Certification

Publication | November 9, 2016

Courts Crack Down on Attempts to Expand the Scope of The New Jersey Truth-in-Consumer Contract, Warranty and Notice Act

Event | October 27, 2016

Class Action Settlements: Hot Topics in 2016 and Beyond

Publication | October 12, 2016

Reconsidering 'Traceability' Element of TCPA Standing | *Law360*

Event | October 11, 2016

The Nuts and Bolts of Class Action Settlements: Best Practices and Recent Developments

Event | October 11, 2016

The 'Gotcha' Game: Identifying and Mitigating the Class Action Exposure You May Not Know You Have

News | September 21, 2016

3 Factors to Weigh in Deciding to Fight or Fold TCPA Suits | *Law360*

Publication | September 15, 2016

Can 1 Call Or Text Cause Injury Under TCPA? | *Law360*

Event | September 8, 2016

Class Actions After Spokeo

Publication | August 18, 2016

Time For A Timeout in TCPA Litigation | *Law360*

Publication | August 1, 2016

Drinker Biddle Lawyers Contribute to PLI's 2017 Telecommunications Law Answer Book | Practising Law Institute (PLI)

Publication | July 7, 2016

TCPA Team Published in Law360 on "The Rise of the Professional TCPA Plaintiff" | *Law360*

Event | June 14, 2016

What Businesses Need to Know About the Recent Wave of "Gotcha" Class Actions under the Truth-in-Consumer Contract, Warranty and Notice Act

Event | June 3, 2016

New Jersey Truth-in-Consumer Contract, Warranty and Notice Act (TCCWNA)

Event | April 27, 2016

FCRA Class Actions: Minimizing Litigation Woes for Employers

Event | April 22, 2016

Understanding Article III Standing and Rule 23(b)(3) Certification: Latest Trends and Challenges

Event | April 22, 2016

What to Expect from the D.C. Circuit Appeal of the Federal Communications Commission's 2015 TCPA Ruling

Publication | April 7, 2016

The Landmines Lurking in Your Website: The Explosion of Class Actions Targeting Terms of Use and Other Online Agreements and Notices

Publication | April 1, 2016

Biometrics Litigation: An Evolving Landscape | Practical Law

Publication | April 1, 2016

All Eyes on DC Circ. For Ruling on FCC's TCPA Order | Law360

Publication | February 29, 2016

TCPA And VoIP: Revisiting The 4th Circ.'s Ruling In Monarch | Law360

Publication | January 29, 2016

The Big Chill: How The FCC's Reading Of The TCPA Violates The First Amendment And Demands The Impossible | Law360

News | January 26, 2016

Mike Daly Quoted in Law360

Publication | January 18, 2016

FCC Responds In Consolidated Appeal From Its July 2015 Omnibus Ruling | TCPA Blog

Event | December 2, 2015

The TCPA 101: A Primer on the Telephone Consumer Protection Act

Publication | December 1, 2015

3rd Circ. TCPA Decisions Are Not Setbacks For Defendants | Law 360

Publication | November 30, 2015

Joint Petitioners File Initial Brief in Consolidated Appeal of FCC's TCPA Order | Inside ARM

Event | November 19, 2015

The ABCs of the TCPA: A Primer on the Telephone Consumer Protection Act

Event | November 10, 2015

Using Class Action Trial Plans and Other Pretrial Filings to Influence Certification

Event | November 10, 2015

Braving the Minefield of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act: Hot Topics in Litigation and Compliance

Event | November 10, 2015

Risky Business: Forming and Funding a Business Organization

Event | August 27, 2015

The FCC Cracks Down Yet Again – An Update on the Regulatory and Litigation Climate of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act

Event | August 4, 2015

Hot Topics Program: The Regulatory and Litigation Climate of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act

Publication | July 28, 2015

Telecommunications Law Answer Book 2016 | PLI

Publication | July 14, 2015

What You Need to Know About the FCC's July 10th Declaratory Ruling on the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA)

News | May 26, 2015

Drinker Biddle and NCLEJ Secure Landmark Class Action Settlement for New York Residents Who Are Visually Impaired

Publication | October 6, 2014

Court Holds That Twombly/Iqbal Pleading Standard Does Not Apply to Affirmative Defenses in TCPA Case | Law.com

News | October 22, 2012

Mike Daly and Andrew Reeve Article Published in BNA Class Action Litigation Report

Publication | October 12, 2012

Suing Like a Duck: Attorney General Actions and the Class Action Fairness Act | Class Action
Litigation Report

Publication | February 9, 2012

**Out with the old, In with the New: The JVCA Changes Rules Governing Removal, Jurisdiction
and Venue** | Commercial Litigation Alert

Recognitions

American Bar Foundation Fellow (2012-2017)

[Awards Methodology \(www.drinkerbiddle.com/content/awards\)](http://www.drinkerbiddle.com/content/awards)