

ASPRS REPORT FORM



*INSTRUCTIONS: Please try to keep the report to this one page. If you are only reporting/updating on your entity's activities, attach no more than two (2) written pages to this form. If you are reporting on a recommendation for action or policy change, please attach supporting documentation to your response to Question #4. Reports resulting from a meeting held during the conference must be dropped off at the on-site ASPRS staff office the day preceding the Board meeting. **Please submit an electronic copy for web site posting (email to asprs@asprs.org).***

1. Report Category and Sub-category (*Select as appropriate*):

2. Report Type (*check one*):

Reporting/Updating of Activities

Recommending Action

Recommending Policy Change

If recommending action or policy change:

Is recommendation result of a meeting? Yes No

If yes, was a quorum present? Yes No

Recorded vote on recommendation: For Against Abstentions

Date of meeting:

3. Title of issue/area reporting (*example: Proposal for Affiliate Membership Category*):

4. Brief background information and possible impact of issue/area (*examples: Why is it an issue? Will funding, staff utilization, services and/or facility changes be necessary? How much will it cost? What are projected revenues? Are there alternatives? Please be brief, but attachments may be submitted when necessary*):

5. Specific recommendation for Executive Committee/Board of Directors action, if any (*state in the form of a motion(s) to be acted upon*):

6. Report completed by:

Name:

Title:

Signature: _____

Date:

1. Discussion of recommendations of the Excom/Ad Hoc Branding Committee and the Strategic Plan. This Division will work to embrace the recommendations to include activities such as more frequent meetings (between conferences), quarterly reports, etc.

2. Procurement Guideline Activities

The schedule of activities is:

- (1) A new draft of the Submittal of the Guidelines for the Procurement of Commercial Geospatial Products along with a draft Umbrella document and narrative on the review process has been submitted to the ASPRS Board at this conference.
- (2) A document review period will extend to Fall 2012. (ASPRS, MAPPS, NSGIC, COGO, etc. will be invited to participate).
- (3) Comments and revisions will be tabulated on the review process.
- (4) A revised draft with comments on the review process will be submitted for potential adoption of the Product Guideline by the ASPRS board at the Fall ASPRS meeting.
- (4) The procurement committee will undertake the process of combining the Umbrella, the Services, and the Products Guidelines into one Guideline for the Procurement of Geospatial Products and Services with a targeted Spring Conference 2013 date targeted for completion of the first draft.

3. Update on State Licensing Legislation

The following states have had activity in licensing and are being monitored by committee members:

-Indiana. Within the last month Frank Taylor (ASPRS member) met with Gary Kent and Ryan Swingley of the Indiana Society of Professional Land Surveyors to further discuss the licensure of Photogrammetrists in Indiana. Based on guidance from ASPRS they are going to proceed with crafting language for this licensure to be put in the IN legislative process. The bill will be based on the NCEES Model Law (including the grandfather clause). Gary stressed that they will keep ASPRS involved throughout the process and will send the bill language to ASPRS for review and approval.

-Washington. The Board of Engineering Licensing is clarifying the definition of surveying in their existing statute. Doug Smith and Terry Curtis (Puget Sound Region National Director) are tracking progress.

-New Mexico has a bill before the legislature that modifies their existing Engineering and Surveying statutes, but none of the proposed changes will affect the licensure of photogrammetrists. New Mexico already requires photogrammetrists to either be or work under professional land surveyors.

-Texas – thinking about possibly doing something.

Wisconsin – bill on floor. Doug Fuller thinks it may be tabled. He will follow-up.

Michael Zoltek – will work with Doug Fuller to contact various states and survey organizations to exchange information on the issue of licensure for Photogrammetrist. Michael will also take responsibility for maintaining the Photogrammetry and Lidar Licensure Map maintained on the PPD website.

Karen Schuckman has expressed interest in collaborating on an article on “Has licensing made a difference”? The article could potentially interview several States, agencies and firms. She will check into this further.

4. Update on Photogrammetry Licensing Exam Writing

One test is ready to go to any State that licenses Photogrammetrists.

Colonial States / ASPRS Photogrammetry Licensure Exam Writing Session will be held during the ASPRS/MAPPS Conference in Tampa - the tentative session date is Oct 28 or 29. Mike Renslow will assist Dan Paulsen in organizing the session. Mike & Dan will confer with Doyle Allen of Colonial States to decide on principle topics to be addressed. We will start asking for volunteers in April, with commitments made by this summer.

5. Re-certification Guideline Revision

Through the process of seeking accreditation through CESB we have to fix issues in our program to get a 5-year accreditation. Appointments to the certification review committee in the future will come from the President of ASPRS. We have been using a point system which will now be based on professional development hours or continuing education units. The new system will become "official" Jan 2013.

6. ASPRS Wikipedia Development

This effort is being led by Brian Murphy from PPD with collaboration from the GIS Division (David Alvarez). The current status is that further work on this effort is pending completion of the Glossary.